Original Research

Title: Disparities in COVID-19 related knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours by health literacy.

Authors: McCaffery KJ¹; Dodd RH¹, Cvejic E¹, Ayre, J¹, Batcup C¹, Isautier JMJ¹, Copp, T¹, Bonner C¹, Pickles K¹, Nickel B¹, Dakin T¹, Cornell S¹, Wolf MS²

Affiliations

¹Sydney Health Literacy Lab, Sydney School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, NSW 2006.

² Center for Applied Health Research on Aging, Division of General Internal Medicine & Geriatrics, Feinberg School of Medicine at Northwestern University, Chicago, IL

Corresponding author: Professor Kirsten McCaffery

School of Public Health. Room 128B Edward Ford Building (A27), The University of Sydney, NSW. 2006. Ph: +61 2 9351 7220.

Email: kirsten.mccaffery@sydney.edu.au

<u>Key words</u>: COVID-19, coronavirus, patient, knowledge, attitudes, behaviors, health literacy, comorbidity, chronic disease

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To explore the variation in understanding, attitudes and uptake of COVID-19 health advice during the 2020 pandemic lockdown by health literacy.

Study design: National cross sectional community survey.

Setting: Australian general public.

Participants: Adults aged over 18 years (n=4362).

Main outcome measures: Knowledge, attitudes and behaviours related to COVID-19; health literacy and socio-demographic factors.

Results: People with inadequate health literacy had poorer understanding of COVID-19 symptoms (49% vs 68%; p<0.001), were less able to identify behaviours to prevent infection (59% vs 72%; p<0.001), and experienced more difficulty finding information and understanding government messaging about COVID-19 than people with adequate health literacy. They were less likely to rate social distancing as important (6.1 vs 6.5, p<0.001) and reported more difficulty remembering/accessing medication since lockdown (3.6 vs 2.7, p<0.001). Importantly there was higher endorsement of misinformation beliefs related to COVID-19 and vaccination in people with lower health literacy. Similar results were observed among people who primarily speak a language other than English at home.

Conclusion: Our findings show important disparities by health literacy and language in COVID-19 related knowledge, attitudes and behaviours that have the potential to undermine efforts to reduce viral transmission and may lead to social inequalities in health outcomes in Australia. Those with the greatest burden of chronic disease are most disadvantaged, and most likely to experience severe disease and die from COVID-19. Addressing the health literacy needs of the community in public health messaging about COVID-19 must now be a priority in Australia.

Box 1.

The known

 People with chronic disease are more susceptible to severe illness and death from COVID-19; the same groups frequently have lower health literacy.

The new

- First national data on variations in knowledge, attitudes and uptake of public health messages by health literacy in Australia.
- It shows important disparities with poorer outcomes by health literacy and primary language spoken at home.

The implications

- Inadequate understanding and uptake of behavioural advice may undermine public health efforts to reduce viral transmission.
- Health messages must be tailored to meet the needs of diverse populations or may put already vulnerable people at greater risk.

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus pandemic represents the biggest public health challenge Australia and the world have faced in living memory. Because COVID-19 spreads so rapidly, the pandemic has placed unprecedented strain on health systems globally. People at greater risk of a severe response to COVID-19 include those aged over 60 years, in aged care facilities, with compromised immune systems (e.g. cancer) and those with chronic medical conditions (1). Although data is still emerging, it suggests that people with chronic disease and multimorbidity are particularly susceptible (2). There are well known social inequalities in chronic disease with higher rates in more disadvantaged populations. Perhaps predictably, evidence of large disparities in COVID-19 deaths by ethnicity and socioeconomic groups has emerged in the US and UK (2, 3).

Currently there are no proven antiviral treatments and no vaccine. This means that to control the spread of COVID-19 we are largely reliant on individual behaviour to comply with restrictions and follow recommended advice on behaviours such as physical distancing, voluntary testing, self-isolation, and hand hygiene. Lockdown measures can enforce some of these behaviours, for example, by restricting travel, requiring returning travellers to self-isolate, closure of public recreational spaces, dramatically limiting individual contacts, and an extensive shift to attend work or school remotely. These combined efforts to slow the spread of COVID-19 have had notable success in Australia. However, different levels of engagement with these lockdown measures within our community may lead to hotspots or even a second wave, and certain groups may be more severely affected by COVID-19.

Since an effective response to the virus requires individuals to modify their behaviour, their engagement with public health information is a pivotal element. This has meant being able to process and understand rapidly evolving public health messages, and then actioning them. It is well known that people vary in their ability to understand, access and action health advice and make informed health decisions —a set of skills commonly called "health literacy" (4). Health literacy has emerged as one of the strongest psychosocial determinants of health outcomes and explains a range of health inequalities by age, ethnicity and socioeconomic position (5). Concern about the quality and appropriateness of communication for people with lower health literacy, and for other vulnerable population subgroups early on in the lockdown campaign, has been expressed (6). This was alongside

widespread concern about inconsistent messaging (e.g. on sending children to school) and lack of clarity over key preventive behavioural advice (physical distancing).

We set out to explore the understanding, uptake and impact of COVID-19 health advice during the 2020 pandemic lockdown among a diverse national sample. Our aim was to understand if vulnerable populations might be further disadvantaged in their understanding and attitudes regarding COVID-19 prevention measures. We examined variation in knowledge, attitudes, behaviours and psychosocial outcomes by health literacy and key sociodemographic factors.

METHODS

Setting:

The survey was carried out in collaboration with a sister survey conducted in the United States in March (7)(Wolf et al 2020). The adapted Australian version of the survey was conducted between April 17th-22nd 2020, when lockdown had been in place for 3 weeks.

Study design:

A cross-sectional survey was conducted using the online platform Qualtrics. This study was approved by The University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee (2020/212).

Participants:

Participants were aged 18 years and over, able to read and understand English and currently residing in Australia. Participants were recruited via social media (Facebook and Instagram) and Dynata, a large market research company with over 600,000 online panel members. Participants recruited via social media were given the opportunity to enter into a prize draw for the chance to win one of ten \$20 gift cards upon completion of the survey. Participants recruited via Dynata received points for completing the survey, which can be redeemed for gift vouchers, donations to charities or money.

5

Measurements:

Sociodemographic variables including age, gender, educational status, employment status, country of birth, area of residence, number in household, primary language spoken at home, and self-identification as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander were collected. In addition, health insurance status, self-reported chronic diseases and self-reported overall health were obtained. Changes in consumption of unhealthy snacks and alcohol intake was assessed (8). We assessed health literacy using Health Literacy single item screener (8) and eHealth Literacy Scale (eHeals) (9), and numeracy using the Subjective Numeracy Scale (10). The Consumer Health Activation Index (CHAI) was used to determine patient activation (11). Anxiety and depression were measured using self-reported history of anxiety, depression and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (12). Participants were asked to indicate their awareness and concerns (7), perceived financial impact (13), knowledge, sources of information, personal preparedness (14), behaviour change, daily impact and support for misinformation of COVID-19 (15) (Box 1 in the Appendix lists the items).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all participant characteristics (Table 1) and study outcome measures (Supplementary Table S1). Associations between key participant characteristics (see Tables 1-2) and outcomes were examined in univariable analyses using X² tests, t-tests, or analysis of variance (as appropriate). To explore variation in outcomes by health literacy adequacy, multivariable linear regression models were used to estimate marginal means (with 95% confidence intervals) for continuous outcomes, and generalised linear models with a modified Poisson approach (16) to estimate relative risks (with 95% confidence intervals) for dichotomous outcomes. All multivariable models controlled for age group, gender, number of chronic health conditions, language spoken at home, private health insurance status, and employment status. Statistical analyses were conducted in Stata/IC v16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

Sample size was calculated to achieve a specified level of precision in estimates at a 6-month assessment in the prospective cohort, accounting for potential loss to follow-up with each assessment wave.

RESULTS

We had a total of 4,362 respondents. Sample characteristics are summarised in Table 1. The mean age was 42.6 years (SD 17.4; range: 18-90 years) with 60% female respondents. Most participants (75%) were born in Australia, with 94% speaking English as their primary language at home, 35% had no tertiary qualifications and 36% did not have private health insurance. The presence of at least one chronic health condition was reported by 48% of the sample. Inadequate health literacy (assessed by the SILS) was reported by 13% of the sample.

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of analysis sample (N=4362). Data are shown as n (%) unless otherwise specified.

Variable	Summary Value
Mean age (SD), years	42.6 (17.4
Age group	
18 to 25 years	964 (22%
26 to 40 years	1215 (28%
41 to 55 years	962 (22%
56 to 90 years	1221 (28%
Gender	
Male	1698 (39%
Female	2615 (60%
Other/prefer not to say	49 (1%
Highest level of educational attainment	
Less than high school	148 (3%
High school graduate	786 (18%
Trade Certificate (I-IV)	617 (14%
Diploma, Bachelor degree, or equivalent	2026 (46%
Masters or Doctoral degree, or equivalent	785 (18%
Born in Australia	3260 (75%
Primary language spoken at home is English	4088 (94%
Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander	
Yes	88 (2%
No	4231 (97%
Not stated	43 (1%
Adequate health literacy^	3813 (87%
Mean subjective numeracy score (SD)	4.83 (1.12
Mean eHeals (SD)	4.04 (0.74
Private Health Insurance	
Yes	2763 (63%
No	1555 (36%
Not stated	44 (1%
Number of chronic health conditions*	
None	2251 (52%
One	1237 (28%
Two or more	874 (20%
Mental health history	
Depression	1434 (33%
Anxiety	1635 (37%
Regular prescription medication	2400 (55%
Median number of alcoholic drinks consumed each week (IQR)	2 (0,6
Mean State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) score (SD)	43.55 (15.20
Do you know, or think, that you have COVID-19?	92 (2%

Self-reported general health

Poor	148 (3%)
Fair	632 (14%)
Good	1521 (35%)
Very good	1541 (35%)
Excellent	520 (12%)

[^] based on single-item HL screener * chronic conditions included respiratory disease, asthma, COPD, hypertension, cancer, heart disease, stroke, diabetes

COVID-19 Awareness and Concern

Awareness and concern about COVID-19 across sample demographics are shown in Table 2. Notably, older participants (aged 56 to 90 years) rated the seriousness of the threat of COVID-19 as higher than younger participants, but also reported being less nervous, had lower anxiety, and a greater proportion believed they were not likely to get sick than in younger age groups. The perceived seriousness of the threat also increased with number of chronic health conditions reported. Participants who reported speaking a language other than English (LOTE) at home rated the threat of COVID-19 lower, with a greater proportion indicating that they were not likely to get sick compared to those who primarily spoke English at home.

Table 2. Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours related to COVID-19 across sample demographics.

Age group	Knowledge and information			
18 to 25	Mean difficulty understanding government messaging 1-10			
26 to 40 7.5 (2.2) 11.9 22.4 46.9 (14.6) 3.1 (1.0) 62.8 72.2 4.2 (2.5) 41 to 55 7.8 (2.2) 16.4 21.2 43.0 (14.8) 2.9 (1.0) 64.2 76.5 3.7 (2.5) 56 to 90 8.0 (2.1) 30.1 33.7 36.7 (14.1) 2.7 (0.9) 56.4 67.9 3.2 (2.3) Gender Male 7.3 (2.3)\$ 27.0\$ 31.9\$ 41.3 (15.0)\$ 3.0 (1.0) 56.4 67.9 3.2 (2.3) Health literacy Inadequate 7.1 (2.3)\$ 16.4 30.8# 48.8 (14.3)\$ 3.3 (0.9) 64.9 76.9 3.9 (2.4) Health literacy Inadequate 7.1 (2.3)\$ 16.4 30.8# 48.8 (14.3)\$ 3.3 (0.9) 64.9 76.9 3.9 (2.4) Education High school or less Certificate I-IV 7.5 (2.3) 21.7 28.7 44.8 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 52.6\$ 66.3\$ 4.0 (2.4)\$ 61.8 72.4 3.7 (2.4) General health Poor to Fair 7.8 (2.3)^A 15.5# 22.7^A 49.4 (16.0)\$ 3.3 (1.0) 62.9 72.7 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^A 3.0 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^A 3.0 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) English 7.7 (2.1)^A 19.3# 24.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 41.1 3.9 (2.4) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.7 (2.2) 2.9 (2.0 29.6 43.8 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) The demployment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^A Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1)	1-10			
## 1 to 55	4.8 (2.7)\$			
Gender Male 7.3 (2.3)\$ 27.0\$ 31.9\$ 41.3 (15.0)\$ 3.0 (1.0) 53.1\$ 60.9\$ 3.2 (2.3)	4.9 (2.8)			
Gender Male 7.3 (2.3)\$ 27.0\$ 31.9\$ 41.3 (15.0)\$ 3.0 (1.0) 53.1\$ 60.9\$ 3.8 (2.5)	4.4 (2.8)			
Male 7.3 (2.3)\$ 27.0\$ 31.9\$ 41.3 (15.0)\$ 3.0 (1.0) 53.1\$ 60.9\$ 3.8 (2.5) 64.9 76.9 3.9 (2.4)	3.6 (2.6)			
Female 7.9 (2.0) 13.7 21.5 44.9 (15.1) 3.0 (1.0) 64.9 76.9 3.9 (2.4)				
Female 7.9 (2.0) 13.7 21.5 44.9 (15.1) 3.0 (1.0) 64.9 76.9 3.9 (2.4)	4.2 (2.8)#			
Health literacy Inadequate Adequate 7.1 (2.3)\$ 16.4 30.8# 48.8 (14.3)\$ 3.3 (0.9)\$ Adequate 7.7 (2.1) 19.2 24.7 42.8 (15.2) 2.9 (1.0) 61.8 72.4 3.7 (2.4) Education High school or less Certificate I-IV 7.5 (2.3) 21.7 28.7 44.8 (15.9)# 3.1 (1.0)\$ 52.6\$ 66.3\$ 4.0 (2.4)^^ 7.5 (2.3) 21.7 22.2 43.0 (14.9) 2.9 (1.0) 62.9 72.7 3.8 (2.4) General health Poor to Fair Good to Excellent 7.6 (2.2) 19.6 26.1 42.3 (14.7) 2.9 (1.0) 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^* 3.0 (1.0)^* 61.4 7.1 3.9 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^* 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^* 3.9 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5)^* 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^* 75.0 Charge in employment Paid employment Paid employment Paid employment Paid employment Paid employment Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) 58.8\$ 4.6 (2.4)\$ 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 3.7 (2.4) 4.8 (15.2) 4.4 (15.9)# 3.1 (1.0)\$ 52.6\$ 66.3\$ 4.0 (2.4)^^ 4.0 (2.5) 66.3 4.0 (2.4)^^ 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5)^^ 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (1.0) 4.0 (2.5)^^ 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5)^^ 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (2.5) 4.0 (1.0) 4.0 (2.5) 4	4.5 (2.8)			
Inadequate	(2.3)			
Education High school or less 7.4 (2.3)\$ 23.5\$ 33.1\$ 44.4 (15.9)# 3.1 (1.0)\$ 52.6\$ 66.3\$ 4.0 (2.4)^ Certificate I-IV 7.5 (2.3) 21.7 28.7 44.8 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 01/2	5.0 (2.7)\$			
Education High school or less 7.4 (2.3)\$ 23.5\$ 33.1\$ 44.4 (15.9)# 3.1 (1.0)\$ 52.6\$ 66.3\$ 4.0 (2.4)^^ Certificate I-IV 7.5 (2.3) 21.7 28.7 44.8 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 60.1 68.1 4.1 (2.5) University 7.8 (2.1) 16.7 22.2 43.0 (14.9) 2.9 (1.0) 62.9 72.7 3.8 (2.4) General health Poor to Fair 7.8 (2.3)^ 15.5# 22.7^ 49.4 (16.0)\$ 3.3 (1.0)\$ 57.3 69.6 4.0 (2.5)^^ Good to Excellent 7.6 (2.2) 19.6 26.1 42.3 (14.7) 2.9 (1.0) 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^ 3.0 (1.0)^ 61.4 71.1 3.9 (2.4) One 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.3 (2.8)			
High school or less	7.5 (2.0)			
Certificate I-IV 7.5 (2.3) 21.7 28.7 44.8 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 60.1 68.1 4.1 (2.5) 7.8 (2.1) 16.7 22.2 43.0 (14.9) 2.9 (1.0) 62.9 72.7 3.8 (2.4) General health Poor to Fair 7.8 (2.3)^ 15.5# 22.7^ 49.4 (16.0)\$ 3.3 (1.0)\$ 57.3 69.6 4.0 (2.5)^ Good to Excellent 7.6 (2.2) 19.6 26.1 42.3 (14.7) 2.9 (1.0) 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^ 3.0 (1.0)^ 61.4 71.1 3.9 (2.4) 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) 7.7 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) 7.7 (2.1) 2.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) 7.7 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5)^ Supplement Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4)	4.3 (2.8)			
University 7.8 (2.1) 16.7 22.2 43.0 (14.9) 2.9 (1.0) 62.9 72.7 3.8 (2.4) General health Poor to Fair 7.8 (2.3)^ 15.5# 22.7^ 49.4 (16.0)\$ 3.3 (1.0)\$ 57.3 69.6 4.0 (2.5)^ Good to Excellent 7.6 (2.2) 19.6 26.1 42.3 (14.7) 2.9 (1.0) 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^ 3.0 (1.0)^ 61.4 71.1 3.9 (2.4) One 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Change in employment Paid employment 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4)				
Poor to Fair 7.8 (2.3)^ 15.5# 22.7^ 49.4 (16.0)\$ 3.3 (1.0)\$ 57.3 69.6 4.0 (2.5)^ 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4)	4.5 (2.9)			
Poor to Fair 7.8 (2.3)^ 15.5# 22.7^ 49.4 (16.0)\$ 3.3 (1.0)\$ 57.3 69.6 4.0 (2.5)^ Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^ 3.0 (1.0)^ 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4) None 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Change in employment	4.4 (2.8)			
Good to Excellent 7.6 (2.2) 19.6 26.1 42.3 (14.7) 2.9 (1.0) 60.9 70.9 3.8 (2.4) Chronic health conditions None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^ 3.0 (1.0)^ 61.4 71.1 3.9 (2.4) One 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4 - 4			
Chronic health conditions None	4.8 (2.9)\$			
None 7.5 (2.2)\$ 18.1 26.2 44.2 (14.9)^ 3.0 (1.0)^ 61.4 71.1 3.9 (2.4) One 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4)	4.3 (2.8)			
One 7.7 (2.1) 20.2 25.3 42.8 (15.0) 2.9 (1.0) 59.2 71.1 3.8 (2.4) Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment				
Two or more 8.1 (2.1) 18.9 23.9 43.0 (16.0) 3.0 (1.0) 58.8 69.2 3.9 (2.6) Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.4 (2.7)			
Language at home English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.4 (2.9)			
English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.3 (2.9)			
English 7.7 (2.2)^ 19.3# 24.8\$ 43.4 (15.2)^ 3.0 (1.0)\$ 60.4 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	` · ·			
Other 7.4 (2.3) 12.8 35.8 45.5 (14.5) 3.4 (1.1) 58.4 50.7 4.1 (2.5) Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.5 (2.8)\$			
Private Health Insurance Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	3.7 (2.6)			
Yes 7.7 (2.1)# 17.6# 23.5\$ 43.0 (15.0)# 2.9 (1.0)\$ 62.3\$ 72.0\$ 3.8 (2.5) No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	(,			
No / not sure 7.5 (2.3) 21.0 29.0 44.5 (15.5) 3.1 (1.1) 56.7 68.4 3.9 (2.5) Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.3 (2.8)\$			
Employment Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) Other 7.7 (2.2) Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4)	4.6 (2.9)			
Paid employment 7.6 (2.1) 15.9\$ 21.7\$ 43.4 (14.6) 2.9 (1.0)\$ 65.1\$ 74.6\$ 3.9 (2.5)^ Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.0 (2.3)			
Other 7.7 (2.2) 22.0 29.6 43.8 (15.8) 3.1 (1.1) 55.0 66.4 3.8 (2.4) Change in employment	4.5 (2.8)#			
Change in employment	4.3 (2.8)			
	4.3 (2.6)			
	4.0 (0.7)0			
No change 7.7 (2.2) 21.8\$ 26.1 41.6 (14.8)\$ 2.6 (0.9)\$ 60.5 72.1# 3.7 (2.4)\$	4.2 (2.7)\$			
Any change 7.6 (2.2) 13.6 24.4 47.0 (15.2) 3.6 (1.0) 59.8 68.0 4.2 (2.5)	4.8 (2.9)			
Living arrangement				
Alone 7.5 (2.4)^ 25.0\$ 26.7 42.9 (16.0)^ 2.8 (1.0)\$ 57.8# 71.6\$ 3.6 (2.5)#	4.2 (2.8)			
Others (with children) 7.8 (2.1) 16.5 23.2 42.7 (14.4) 3.0 (1.0) 64.3 76.0 3.8 (2.4)	4.5 (2.8)			
Other (No children) 7.6 (2.2) 18.6 26.2 44.1 (15.3) 3.0 (1.1) 59.0 68.1 3.9 (2.5)	4.4 (2.8)			

[^]P<0.05; #P<0.01; \$P<0.001

Table 2 (cont.). Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours related to COVID-19 across sample demographics.

Variable	Preparedness and response			Behaviours and impact				COVID-19/ Vaccination Misinformation Beliefs					
	Confiden ce in federal governm ent (%)	Confiden ce in local / state governm ent (%)	Not personall y prepared (%)	Changed daily routine (%)	Changed plans (%)	Mean social distancing score 1-7	Mean difficulty remembering / accessing medication 1-10	More alcohol	More snacks	Vaccine effectiveness made-up (%)	COVID-19 threat greatly exaggerated (%)	Herd immunity beneficial and is covered up (%)	Governmen restrictions stronger that needed (%)
Age group													
18 to 25	65.8	74.6	11.1#	88.4\$	95.0\$	6.4 (0.8)\$	3.9 (2.9)\$	25.7\$	47.6\$	19.4	21.2\$	22.4\$	13.2\$
26 to 40	63.0	74.2	10.6	87.1	93.7	6.4 (0.8)	3.3 (2.8)	33.1	46.0	18.0	17.1	16.0	17.0
41 to 55	64.8	76.5	8.0	84.3	91.9	6.5 (0.7)	2.9 (2.5)	30.0	35.4	16.0	11.0	12.8	12.9
56 to 90	67.3	76.3	7.0	80.0	89.5	6.5 (0.7)	2.1 (2.0)	16.1	21.3	15.8	7.0	9.7	11.3
Gender													
Male	67.0	76.1	9.2	83.8	90.6\$	6.3 (0.8)\$	2.7 (2.5)	22.7\$	31.6\$	19.7#	19.1\$	18.0\$	18.0\$
Female	64.6	75.2	9.1	85.5	93.6	6.5 (0.7)	2.9 (2.5)	28.1	40.7	15.8	10.4	13.1	10.9
Health literacy													
Inadequate	66.3	72.9	14.6\$	77.6\$	89.3#	6.1 (1.0)\$	3.6 (2.8)\$	20.4#	37.9	26.0\$	21.5\$	21.1\$	19.1\$
Adequate	65.1	75.7	8.4	85.8	92.9	6.5 (0.7)	2.7 (2.5)	26.8	37.0	16.0	12.7	14.1	12.9
Education													
High school or less	69.3^	74.9	9.7#	81.5\$	87.7\$	6.4 (0.8)#	2.8 (2.5)	21.2\$	36.3	20.9\$	14.2	16.4	11.3^
Certificate I-IV	64.8	73.6	12.2	79.7	88.2	6.4 (0.7)	3.0 (2.7)	21.7	37.1	19.3	15.2	16.7	13.1
University	64.0	75.9	8.3	87	94.9	6.5 (0.7)	2.8 (2.5)	28.6	37.4	15.6	13.4	14.1	14.5
General health													
Poor to Fair	52.6\$	66.3\$	11.0^	80.4\$	88.1\$	6.4 (0.8)	3.4 (2.8)\$	19.1\$	38.2	18.1	10.6#	11.0\$	12.2
Good to Excellent	68.0	77.4	8.7	85.7	93.4	6.5 (0.7)	2.6 (2.4)	27.5	36.9	17.1	14.5	15.8	14.0
Chronic health condition	ns												
None	66.2^	76.0^	8.9	84.1	92.4	6.4 (0.8)\$	2.9 (2.5)	28.1\$	38.8^	17.3	16.3\$	16.6#	15.3#
One	66.5	76.7	9.2	86.2	92.2	6.5 (0.7)	2.7 (2.5)	26.1	36.7	17.3	11.9	14.4	11.6
Two or more	60.9	71.9	9.7	84.6	92.9	6.5 (0.8)	2.8 (2.6)	20.5	33.2	17.2	10.3	11.6	12.2
Language at home						_ ` ' -							
English	64.8^	75.4	9.2	84.5	92.4	6.5 (0.7)\$	2.8 (2.5)	26.7\$	37.0	16.3\$	13.1\$	14.6^	13.3#
Other	72.3	75.5	8.4	88.3	92.3	6.3 (0.9)	3.3 (2.7)	15.7	39.2	32.1	24.8	19.7	19.3
Private Health Insurance	e												
Yes	66.4^	77.2\$	8.0\$	87.0\$	94.8\$	6.5 (0.7)^	2.7 (2.5)	27.6#	36.4	16.0#	13.2	15.3	13.1
No / not sure	63.1	72.2	11.1	80.9	88.4	6.4 (0.8)	2.9 (2.6)	23.3	38.3	19.5	14.9	14.3	14.5
Employment						(, _							
Paid employment	66.4	77.6\$	8.3^	87.1\$	94.7\$	6.4 (0.7)	2.9 (2.6)	30.7\$	38.6^	15.8^	14.4	15.1	14.3
Other	63.9	73.0	10.0	82.2	90.0	6.5 (0.8)	2.8 (2.5)	21.0	35.5	18.8	13.2	14.8	12.9
Change in employment													
No change	66.6^	76.5^	7.7\$	81.8\$	90.9\$	6.5 (0.7)^	2.6 (2.4)\$	23.8\$	34.5\$	15.5\$	11.9\$	12.6\$	12.1\$
Any change	62.8	73.4	11.8	90.1	95.1	6.4 (0.8)	3.4 (2.8)	29.9	41.7	20.3	17.3	19.0	16.3
Living arrangement						(3.5)							
Alone	63.5	74.3	9.2	80.3\$	89.4^	6.4 (0.7)	2.7 (2.5)	23.4#	32.5^	13.3^	12.9	11.7	13.3
Others (with children)	66.6	76.4	8.6	87.4	92.7	6.5 (0.7)	2.8 (2.5)	29.6	37.0	17.9	13.5	15.3	14.1
Other (No children)	65.0	75.1	9.4	84.6	93.0	6.5 (0.7)	2.9 (2.6)	25.0	38.2	17.8	14.2	15.5	13.5
^P<0.05: #P<0.01: \$F		70.1	0. 1	0 110	00.0	0.0 (0.1)	2.0 (2.0)	20.0				10.0	10.0

^P<0.05; #P<0.01; \$P<0.001

Multivariable analyses examining differences in outcomes by health literacy after adjusting for other sociodemographic variables are displayed in Table 3 (full model estimates are provided in Supplementary Tables S2-S5). Compared to participants with adequate health literacy, individuals with inadequate health literacy rated the seriousness of the threat to be significantly lower (p<0.001), had higher anxiety (p<0.001), and reported COVID-19 to have a greater impact on their financial situation (p<0.001). Participants with inadequate health literacy were more likely (p=0.018) to think that they would not get sick from COVID-19 compared to participants with adequate health literacy.

Table 3. Multivariable regression models exploring variation in outcomes by health literacy adequacy. Estimates are presented as adjusted risk ratios or marginal mean differences (95% confidence intervals) for individuals with inadequate health literacy relative to individuals with adequate health literacy.

Outcome	Adjusted risk ratio	Test statistics
Calcomo	(95% confidence interval)	
Awareness and concern	(
Perceived seriousness of threat&	-0.42 (-0.61 to -0.22)	t(4248)=4.09, p<0.001
Not nervous/ stressed	0.85 (0.70 to 1.03)	$X^{2}(1)=2.47$, p=0.12
Not likely to get sick	1.19 (1.03 to 1.37)	X ² (1)=5.62, p=0.018
Anxiety (STAI) ^{&}	4.19 (2.85 to 5.52)	t(4256)=6.14, p<0.001
Financial impact ^{&}	0.26 (0.13 to 0.32)	t(4302)=4.85, p<0.001
Knowledge and information		
Knowledge of 3 key symptoms	0.82 (0.75 to 0.90)	$X^{2}(1)=16.92$, p<0.001
Knowledge of 3 prevention methods	0.86 (0.80 to 0.93)	$X^{2}(1)=14.56$, p<0.001
Difficulty understanding government messaging ^{&}	0.61 (0.35 to 0.86)	t(4302)=4.66, p<0.001
Behaviours and impact		
Not personally prepared	1.56 (1.22 to 1.99)	X ² (1)=12.51, p<0.001
Changed plans	0.96 (0.93 to 0.99)	X ² (1)=6.07, p=0.014
Social distancing score ^{&}	-0.35 (-0.42 to -0.28)	t(4170)=9.75, p<0.001
Difficulty remembering/ accessing medication **	0.44 (0.10 to 0.79)	t(2353)=2.50, p=0.013
More alcohol	0.80 (0.67 to 0.96)	$X^{2}(1)=6.01$, p=0.014
More snacking	0.93 (0.82 to 1.04)	$X^{2}(1)=1.61$, p=0.20
COVID-19/ Vaccination Misinformation Beliefs		
Vaccine effectiveness made-up	1.45 (1.22 to 1.72)	X ² (1)=17.75, p<0.001
COVID-19 threat greatly exaggerated	1.24 (1.02 to 1.50)	$X^{2}(1)=4.75$, p=0.029
Herd immunity for COVID-19 is beneficial and this fact	1.25 (1.03 to 1.51)	X ² (1)=5.29, p=0.022
is being covered up		
Government restrictions are stronger than needed	1.35 (1.11 to 1.65)	X ² (1)=8.70, p=0.003

[^] all models adjusted for age group, gender, chronic health conditions, language spoken at home, private health insurance, and employment status. Full models are provided in Supplementary Table S2-S5; [&] estimates provided are marginal mean differences; * includes only n=2364 who reported taking regular prescription medication.

COVID-19 knowledge and information

On average, participants estimated that 61.3% of people who get COVID-19 will have only mild symptoms, and that approximately 6.5% of people who are infected with COVID-19 in Australia will die as a result (Supplementary Table S1). Most participants (60%) were able to provide at least three key symptoms of COVID-19, and more than two-thirds of the sample (71%) could describe three government-recommended prevention methods. Differences in knowledge of symptoms and preventative measures by sociodemographic factors are shown in Table 2. After controlling for other sociodemographic factors, participants with inadequate health literacy were significantly less likely (p<0.001) to be able to name three key symptoms of COVID-19, and less likely to be able to report three preventative methods (p<0.001).

Participants reported spending on average 1.3 hours (SD 1.3) per day getting news or learning about COVID-19. The three most frequently endorsed sources of information were public television (67.6%), social media (64.4%) and government websites (63.9%). Sociodemographic disparities in understanding government messaging was evident (Table 2); younger participants, females, those with inadequate health literacy, poorer general health, primarily speaking English at home, and those without private health insurance reporting greater difficulty understanding. After adjusting for other sociodemographic factors, individuals with inadequate health literacy reported significantly more difficulty (p<0.001) understanding government messaging in relation to COVID-19.

Behaviour change and impact

Changes to plans due to COVID-19 were reported by the vast majority (92%) of the sample, with 84% agreeing that COVID-19 has impacted their daily routine. Only 9% of the total sample felt "not at all" personally prepared for widespread outbreak of COVID-19. Compared to before the introduction of COVID-19 restrictions, 26% reported drinking more alcohol, and 37% reported eating more unhealthy snacks. Variations in behaviour change and impact as a function of sociodemographic factors are shown in Table 2.

After controlling for other sociodemographic factors (Table 3), individuals with inadequate health literacy were less likely (p=0.014) to have made changes to their plans, and less likely to report social distancing as important (p<0.001), but much more likely to feel personally unprepared for widespread outbreak (p<0.001) compared to those with adequate health literacy. Individuals with inadequate health literacy were less likely to have increased their alcohol intake (p=0.013), but not eating unhealthy snacks (p=0.20) compared to those with adequate health literacy. Of those taking regular prescription medication, individuals with inadequate health literacy reported it was more difficult to remember and access medication during lockdown (p=0.013).

Support for misinformation

Across the sample, support for misinformation about COVID-19 was generally more prominent in younger age groups, males, those with inadequate health literacy, fewer chronic health conditions, and those who spoke a LOTE at home (Table 2). After controlling for other sociodemographic factors (Table 3), those with inadequate health literacy were significantly more likely to agree that the effectiveness of vaccines is made up (p<0.001), the threat of COVID-19 is greatly exaggerated (p=0.029), the benefits of herd immunity for COVID-19 are being covered up (p=0.022), and that government restrictions are stronger than what is needed (p=0.003), compared to individuals with adequate health literacy.

DISCUSSION

Our findings show important disparities in knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours related to COVID-19 that have the potential to undermine efforts to reduce viral transmission and may lead to social inequalities in health outcomes in Australia. People with lower health literacy had poorer understanding of COVID-19 symptoms, were less able to identify behaviours to prevent infection, and experienced more difficulty finding information and understanding government messaging about COVID-19. They were less likely to rate social distancing as important and reported more difficulty remembering and accessing medication since lockdown. They felt less prepared and more anxious about COVID-19, reported experiencing greater financial toxicity but also perceived they were less

likely to get sick from COVID-19. Similar patterns were observed among those who primarily spoke a LOTE at home. Notably, there was markedly higher endorsement of misinformation beliefs in people with lower health literacy and LOTE background, which is a concern as these items relate to ongoing efforts to prevent viral transmission and trust in vaccination - the major hope for mitigating COVID-19 worldwide.

The findings support our earlier concerns about the low level of attention paid to health literacy in COVID-19 public health messaging (6). In our preliminary analysis of health information presented on government websites we found readability scores to be higher than the level suitable for the average Australian (reading Grade 8), and far higher than the grade required for low literacy communities (Grade 5) including those with English as a second language. The findings echo results reported in the US (Chicago) sister survey conducted in March (7). Here, even larger social disparities in key knowledge, attitude and prevention behaviours were reported. Although Australia is in a much more favourable position in relation to COVID-19 than the US for many reasons, the need for ongoing attention to social variation in community uptake of public health messages remains. Until an effective vaccine is available, our primary defence against the spread of COVID-19 is behaviour change. Effective behaviour change relies on diverse communities and patient groups being able to understand, trust and act on evolving health advice. Our comprehensive survey of over 4000 Australians suggests there are important knowledge and attitude gaps which may threaten efforts to reduce viral transmission in Australia.

A systematic review of communication during the H1N1 (swine flu) pandemic in 118 studies (17) reported a consistent association between social inequalities in communication and emergency preparedness outcomes. Trust in sources of information, worry and levels of knowledge about the disease, and routine media exposure as well as information-seeking behaviours, were related to greater likelihood of adoption of recommended viral infection prevention behaviours. The review suggests that when inequalities are addressed in communication the effectiveness of the pandemic response can be increased.

Limitations of study

The recruited sample is large and diverse but is not statistically representative of the Australian population. The proportion of Australians from non-English speaking backgrounds was small and we had very few Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander participants in our sample (2%), although this similar to the national estimate of 3.5%. Future surveys need to target these groups as we were unable to do so with limited time and funds for this project. Our sample of adults with low health literacy is similar to other studies we have conducted (~15%)(18). The single item measure of health literacy used for assessment is simple and non-stigmatising to administer, however it under-reports problems with health literacy, identifying only those with very low health literacy levels (19). It was correlated with our additional related measure of numeracy, ehealth literacy and graphical literacy (all P values <0.001). Future studies should use more comprehensive literacy measures to understand the associations with key knowledge, attitude and behaviour outcomes related to COVID-19.

Conclusion

COVID-19 presents a disproportionate burden to people with chronic disease, who are also more likely to have poorer health literacy and speak a language other than English at home. Health messages must be tailored to meet the needs of these groups as our study shows important disparities in understanding, beliefs and behaviours that may put already vulnerable people at greater risk. Those with the greatest burden of chronic disease are most disadvantaged, and most likely to experience severe disease and die from COVID-19. It is imperative that health advice reaches them in a way they understand and can implement. Addressing the health literacy needs of the community in public health messaging about COVID-19 must now be a priority in Australia.

Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge the members of the Australian public who participated in this survey and Hilary Cox for her administrative assistance in the preparation of this article.

No funder had any role in the study.

There are no conflicts of interest.

All authors had full access to all of the data including statistical reports and tables.

A copy of all measures in included in the Appendix.

Words 2518

Supplementary Table S1. Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours related to COVID-19 in full analysis sample (N=4362).

COVID-19 awareness and concern Mean response (SD) to: "On a scale of 1 to 10, how serious of a public threat do you think COVID-19 is currently, or will become?" Do you think that you will get sick from COVID-19? Not at all lit's possible I probably will I definitely Worth that likely I work that likely I work will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely I work that likely I wo	7.65 (2.17) 349 (8%) 3210 (75%) 664 (16%) 47 (1%) 174 (4%) 937 (21%) 2230 (51%)
Do you think that you will get sick from COVID-19? Not at all It's possible I probably will I definitely will How likely do you think it is that you or someone you know will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time	349 (8%) 3210 (75%) 664 (16%) 47 (1%) 174 (4%) 937 (21%)
Not at all It's possible I probably will I definitely will How likely do you think it is that you or someone you know will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time	3210 (75%) 664 (16%) 47 (1%) 174 (4%) 937 (21%)
It's possible I probably will I definitely will How likely do you think it is that you or someone you know will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time	3210 (75%) 664 (16%) 47 (1%) 174 (4%) 937 (21%)
I probably will I definitely will How likely do you think it is that you or someone you know will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time	664 (16%) 47 (1%) 174 (4%) 937 (21%)
I definitely will How likely do you think it is that you or someone you know will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time	47 (1%) 174 (4%) 937 (21%)
How likely do you think it is that you or someone you know will get sick from COVID-19 this year? Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time All of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Note the past week procedure of the time All of the time All of the time Note the past week procedure of the time all of the time Note the past week procedure of the time all of	174 (4%) 937 (21%)
Not at all likely Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time Not of the time All of the time All of the time Most of the time Not of the time All of the time Most of the time Mean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]?	937 (21%
Not that likely Somewhat likely Very likely Diver the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Nean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]?	937 (21%
Somewhat likely Very likely Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time	
Very likely Diver the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time Mean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]?	2230 (51%)
Over the past week, how often have you felt nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time Nose of the time All of the time All of the time Nose of the time All of the time All of the time Nose of the time All of the time Nose of the time All of the time Nose of the time All of the time	
Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time All of the time Nose of the time All of the time	1021 (23%)
Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19?	
Most of the time All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time All of the time All of the time Nean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]?	822 (19%)
All of the time Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time All of the time COVID-19 knowledge and information	2411 (55%
Over the past week, how often have you felt alone or lonely because of COVID-19? Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Wean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]? COVID-19 knowledge and information	855 (20%
Never Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Wean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]?	274 (6%
Some of the time Most of the time All of the time Wean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]? COVID-19 knowledge and information	
Most of the time All of the time Mean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]? COVID-19 knowledge and information	1356 (31%)
All of the time Mean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]? COVID-19 knowledge and information	1831 (42%
Mean perceived financial impact (SD) [1-5]? COVID-19 knowledge and information	803 (18%)
COVID-19 knowledge and information	372 (9%
COVID-19 knowledge and information	2.99 (1.03
Many reasoned (SD) to: "Mhat percentage of people who get COVID 10 in Australia de you think will die as	
a result"	6.49 (12.98
Mean response (SD) to: "What percent of people who get COVID-19 do you think will only have mild symptoms"	61.26 (25.59
Correctly identified 3 or more key COVID-19 symptoms (health.gov.au)	2629 (60%
Correctly identified 3 or more recommended prevention methods (health.gov.au)	3082 (71%
Mean time spent each day getting news or learning about COVID-19? (SD), hours	1.28 (1.30
Mean difficulty finding accurate and understandable information about COVID-19 (SD) [1-10]	3.86 (2.45
Mean difficulty understanding government advice about COVID-19 (SD) [1-10]	4.41 (2.80
Behaviour and impact	
COVID-19 has had an impact on my daily routine	
Strongly disagree	130 (3%)
Disagree	186 (4%
Disagree a bit	167 (4%
Neither agree nor disagree	181 (4%
Agree a bit	746 (17%
Agree	1238 (28%)
Strongly agree	
Have you changed any plans you have made because of COVID-19?	1714 (39%
Yes, many plans have changed	1714 (39%
Yes, I've changed my plans a little	
No	1714 (39%) 2998 (69%) 1034 (24%)

Changes to obtain prescription medications due to COVID-19 (n=2400 medication users)	
No change	1050 (44%)
Switched to a longer amount (e.g. a 90 day supply)	179 (7%)
Obtained a new refill	981 (41%)
Stopped taking it	46 (2%)
Other change	144 (6%)
How often are you eating unhealthy snacks compared to before the COVID-19 restrictions (1-5)	
I am eating unhealthy snacks a lot less often	281 (6%)
I am eating unhealthy snacks less often	515 (12%)
I am eating about the same amount of unhealthy snacks	1945 (45%)
I am eating unhealthy snacks more often	1278 (29%)
I am eating unhealthy snacks a lot more often	339 (8%)
How much alcohol are you drinking now compared to before the COVID-19 restrictions	
I am drinking a lot less	407 (9%)
I am drinking a little less	312 (7%)
I am drinking about the same amount	1384 (32%)
I am drinking a little more	882 (20%)
I am drinking a lot more	253 (6%)
I don't drink	1124 (26%)
Mean social distancing score (SD) (range 1-7)	6.46 (0.75)
How often are you leaving your home?	
Less than once per week	488 (11%)
Once per week	508 (12%)
A few times per week	1626 (37%)
Once per day	1373 (31%)
Multiple times per day	367 (8%)
<u>Preparedness</u>	
How confident are you that your state or local government can prevent further outbreak of COVID-19?	
Not confident at all	207 (5%)
Not very confident	867 (20%)
Somewhat confident	2689 (62%)
Very confident	599 (14%)
How confident are you that the federal government can prevent further outbreak of COVID-19?	
Not confident at all	382 (9%)
Not very confident	1135 (26%)
Somewhat confident	2389 (55%)
Very confident	456 (10%)
How prepared do you think you as an individual are for the widespread COVID-19 outbreak?	
Not prepared at all	399 (9%)
A little prepared	1313 (30%)
Somewhat prepared	2060 (47%)
Very prepared	590 (14%)

Supplementary Table S2. Multivariable regression models exploring variation in awareness and concern outcomes by sociodemographic factors. Continuous outcomes are presented as estimated marginal means (95% confidence intervals). Values in bold type have statistical evidence (p<0.05) of a main effect (see key for p-value level). Categorical outcomes are presented as adjusted relative risks (95% confidence intervals). Level of statistical evidence for main effects are indicated by the symbol provided accompanying the reference value; significant contrasts relative to the reference group are indicated in bold type.

	Awareness and concern						
	Mean Seriousness of threat (95% CI)	Not nervous/ Stressed	Not likely to get sick Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Mean STAI (95% CI)	Mean Financial Toxicit (95% CI)		
		Risk Ratio (95% CI)					
Age group							
18 to 25 years	7.41 (7.27-7.56)\$	1.00 (ref)\$	1.00 (ref)\$	48.15 (47.20-49.10)\$	3.19 (3.13-3.26)\$		
26 to 40 years	7.47 (7.34-7.59)	0.83 (0.67-1.03)	1.12 (0.96-1.32)	47.21 (46.38-48.04)	3.17 (3.12-3.23)		
41 to 55 years	7.74 (7.60-7.88)	1.19 (0.96-1.47)	1.16 (0.97-1.38)	43.10 (42.17-44.02)	3.03 (2.96-3.09)		
56 to 90 years	7.92 (7.79-8.05)	1.95 (1.63-2.33)\$	1.73 (1.48-2.01)\$	36.25 (35.37-37.12)	2.60 (2.54-2.66)		
Gender			·				
Male	7.31 (7.21-7.42)\$	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	41.34 (40.65-42.03)\$	2.98 (2.93-3.03)		
Female	7.85 (7.77-7.94)	0.52 (0.46-0.58)\$	0.71 (0.64-0.78)\$	44.83 (44.27-45.38)	2.99 (2.95-3.03)		
Health Literacy							
Inadequate	7.28 (7.09-7.46)\$	0.85 (0.70-1.03)	1.19 (1.03-1.37)^	47.11 (45.57-48.36)\$	3.18 (3.10-3.27)\$		
Adequate	7.69 (7.63-7.76)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	42.93 (42.45-43.39)	2.96 (2.92-2.99)		
Chronic Health Conditions							
None	7.53 (7.44-7.62)\$	1.00 (ref)\$	1.00 (ref)\$	42.60 (41.99-43.21)\$	2.95 (2.91-3.00)^		
One	7.62 (7.50-7.74)	0.91 (0.79-1.05)	0.88 (0.78-0.99)^	43.47 (42.66-44.27)	2.98 (2.92-3.03)		
Two or more	7.96 (7.82-8.11)	0.71 (0.60-0.84)\$	0.73 (0.63-0.85)\$	45.64 (44.65-46.64)	3.07 (3.01-3.14)		
Language at home							
English	7.64 (7.57-7.70)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	43.48 (43.04-43.93)	2.97 (2.94-3.00)\$		
Other	7.69 (7.43-7.95)	0.72 (0.53-0.99)^	1.46 (1.22-1.74)\$	43.02 (41.28-44.76)	3.24 (3.12-3.36)		
Private health insurance							
Yes	7.70 (7.63-7.79)#	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	43.17 (42.63-43.71)	2.95 (2.91-2.99)#		
No/Unknown	7.53 (7.42-7.63)	1.18 (1.04-1.33)#	1.21 (1.10-1.35)\$	43.95 (43.24-44.67)	3.05 (3.00-3.09)		
Employment							
Paid employment	7.64 (7.54-7.74)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	42.68 (42.07-43.30)\$	2.82 (2.78-2.87)\$		
Other	7.64 (7.55-7.74)	1.20 (1.05-1.37)#	1.24 (1.10-1.38)\$	44.30 (43.65-44.94)	3.16 (3.12-3.20)		

^P<0.05; #P<0.01; \$P<0.001

Supplementary Table S3. Multivariable regression models exploring variation in knowledge, information and preparedness by sociodemographic factors. Continuous outcomes are presented as estimated marginal means (95% confidence intervals). Values in bold type have statistical evidence (p<0.05) of a main effect (see key for p-value level). Categorical outcomes are presented as adjusted relative risks (95% confidence intervals). Level of statistical evidence for main effects are indicated by the symbol provided accompanying the reference value; significant contrasts relative to the reference group are indicated in bold type.

	Knowledge, information and preparedness					
_	Knowledge of Symptoms Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Knowledge of Prevention Methods Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Least squares mean difficulty understanding government messaging (95% CI)	Not personally prepared Risk Ratio (95% CI)		
Age group						
18 to 25 years	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.79 (4.61-4.97)\$	1.00 (ref)#		
26 to 40 years	1.01 (0.94-1.08)	1.02 (0.97-1.08)	4.94 (4.78-5.09)	1.05 (0.82-1.36)		
41 to 55 years	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	4.34 (4.17-4.52)	0.79 (0.58-1.06)		
56 to 90 years	0.97 (0.90-1.05)	1.00 (0.94-1.06)	3.59 (3.42-3.75)	0.61 (0.45-0.82)#		
Gender		·	•	,		
Male	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.25 (4.12-4.38)#	1.00 (ref)		
Female	1.21 (1.14-1.27)\$	1.23 (1.18-1.29)\$	4.49 (4.38-4.59)	1.00 (0.82-1.22)		
Health Literacy	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	•	,		
Inadequate	0.82 (0.75-0.90)\$	0.86 (0.80-0.93)\$	4.92 (4.68-5.16)\$	1.56 (1.22-1.99)\$		
Adequate	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.32 (4.23-4.40)	1.00 (ref)		
Chronic Health Conditions	, ,	` ,	,	, ,		
None	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.25 (4.13-4.36)#	1.00 (ref)		
One	0.97 (0.91-1.03)	0.99 (0.94-1.03)	4.50 (4.34-4.65)	1.16 (0.93-1.44)		
Two or more	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	0.97 (0.93-1.04)	4.62 (4.43-4.81)	1.29 (1.00-1.67)^		
Language at home	,	,	,	` ,		
English	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.46 (4.37-4.54)\$	1.00 (ref)		
Öther	1.01 (0.91-1.13)	0.74 (0.66-0.83)\$	3.45 (3.16-3.78)	0.79 (0.52-1.20)		
Private health insurance	,,	,,,	, , ,	(===,		
Yes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.32 (4.22-4.42)^	1.00 (ref)		
No/Unknown	0.95 (0.90-1.00)^	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	4.52 (4.38-4.66)	1.26 (1.05-1.53)^		
Employment	,	, ,	,	(11 11,		
Paid employment	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	4.42 (4.31-4.54)	1.00 (ref)		
Other	0.86 (0.82-0.91)\$	0.91 (0.87-0.95)\$	4.36 (4.24-4.48)	1.22 (1.00-1.50)		
AD -0.05, #D -0.04, ¢D -0.004	(\	((1100 1100)		

^P<0.05; #P<0.01; \$P<0.001

Supplementary Table S4. Multivariable regression models exploring variation in behaviour change and impact by sociodemographic factors. Continuous outcomes are presented as estimated marginal means (95% confidence intervals). Values in bold type have statistical evidence (p<0.05) of a main effect (see key for p-value level). Categorical outcomes are presented as adjusted relative risks (95% confidence intervals). Level of statistical evidence for main effects are indicated by the symbol provided accompanying the reference value; significant contrasts relative to the reference group are indicated in bold type.

	Behaviours and impact						
	Changed plans Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Least squares mean social distancing score (95% CI)	Least squares mean difficulty remembering / accessing medication (95% CI)	More alcohol Risk Ratio (95% CI)	More snacks Risk Ratio (95% CI)		
Age group			·				
18 to 25 years	1.00 (ref)\$	6.46 (6.41-6.50)\$	3.93 (3.68-4.19)\$	1.00 (ref)\$	1.00 (ref)\$		
26 to 40 years	0.96 (0.94-0.98)#	6.37 (6.33-6.42)	3.37 (3.16-3.59)	1.19 (1.03-1.36)^	0.94 (0.86-1.03)		
41 to 55 years	0.94 (0.91-0.96)\$	6.48 (6.43-6.53)	2.91 (2.69-3.12)	1.03 (0.89-1.20)	0.70 (0.62-0.78)\$		
56 to 90 years	0.93 (0.90-0.95)\$	6.52 (6.48-6.57)	1.96 (1.79-2.13)	0.61 (0.51-0.73)\$	0.42 (0.36-0.48)\$		
Gender							
Male	1.00 (ref)	6.33 (6.29-6.37)\$	2.76 (2.59-2.93)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)		
Female	1.03 (1.01-1.05)#	6.54 (6.51-6.57)	2.80 (2.68-2.93)	1.15 (1.04-1.28)#	1.25 (1.15-1.36)\$		
Health Literacy							
Inadequate	0.96 (0.93-0.99)^	6.15 (6.08-6.21)\$	3.19 (2.86-3.52)^	0.80 (0.67-0.96)^	0.93 (0.82-1.04)		
Adequate	1.00 (ref)	6.50 (6.47-6.52)	2.75 (2.64-2.85)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)		
Chronic Health Conditions							
None	1.00 (ref)#	6.43 (6.40-6.47)	2.54 (2.37-2.71)\$	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)^		
One	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	6.49 (6.45-6.53)	2.77 (2.60-2.94)	0.98 (0.88-1.10)	1.08 (0.98-1.18)		
Two or more	1.04 (1.01-1.06)#	6.46 (6.41-6.51)	3.09 (2.91-3.26)	0.88 (0.75-1.02)	1.14 (1.02-1.27)^		
Language at home							
English	1.00 (ref)	6.46 (6.44-6.68)	2.79 (2.69-2.89)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)		
Other	1.00 (0.96-1.03)	6.40 (6.31-6.49)	2.90 (2.36-3.43)	0.56 (0.42-0.75)\$	0.94 (0.81-1.10)		
Private health insurance	•	•	•	•	,		
Yes	1.00 (ref)	6.47 (6.44-6.49)	2.74 (2.62-2.86)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)		
No/Unknown	0.94 (0.92-0.96)	6.44 (6.40-4.48)	2.88 (2.71-3.04)	0.86 (0.77-0.95)#	1.03 (0.95-1.12)		
Employment	•	•	•	•	,		
Paid employment	1.00 (ref)	6.45 (6.42-6.48)	2.68 (2.53-2.83)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)		
Other	0.96 (0.94-0.97)\$	6.47 (6.43-6.50)	2.89 (2.75-3.02)	0.83 (0.74-0.93)#	1.02 (0.94-1.11)		

[^]P<0.05; #P<0.01; \$P<0.001

Supplementary Table S5. Multivariable regression models exploring variation in pseudoscience and conspiracy beliefs by sociodemographic factors. Continuous outcomes are presented as estimated marginal means (95% confidence intervals). Values in bold type have statistical evidence (p<0.05) of a main effect (see key for p-value level). Categorical outcomes are presented as adjusted relative risks (95% confidence intervals). Level of statistical evidence for main effects are indicated by the symbol provided accompanying the reference value; significant contrasts relative to the reference group are indicated in bold type.

	COVID-19/ Vaccination Misinformation Beliefs						
	Vaccine effectiveness made-up Risk Ratio (95% CI)	COVID-19 threat greatly exaggerated Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Herd immunity beneficial and is covered up Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Government restrictions stronger than needed Risk Ratio (95% CI)			
Age group		,					
18 to 25 years	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)\$	1.00 (ref)\$	1.00 (ref)#			
26 to 40 years	1.06 (0.88-1.27)	0.90 (0.75-1.08)	0.77 (0.64-0.92)#	1.43 (1.1e-1.77)#			
41 to 55 years	1.01 (0.82-1.24)	0.62 (0.49-0.78)\$	0.62 (0.50-0.78)\$	1.17 (0.92-1.49)			
56 to 90 years	0.92 (0.75-1.12)	0.37 (0.28-0.78)\$	0.46 (0.36-0.57)\$	0.99 (0.78-1.28)			
Gender	()	(0.20 0.10)4	()*	()			
Male	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Female	0.85 (0.74-0.97)^	0.55 (0.48-0.64)\$	0.74 (0.64-0.85)\$	0.61 (0.53-0.71)\$			
Health Literacy	0.00 (0.11 1 0.01)	0.00 (0.10 0.0.),	· · · (e.e. · e.ee,)	0.01 (0.00 0 1)\$			
Inadequate	1.45 (1.22-1.72)\$	1.24 (1.02-1.50)^	1.25 (1.03-1.51)^	1.35 (1.11-1.65)#			
Adequate	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Chronic Health Conditions							
None	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)^			
One	1.09 (0.93-1.27)	0.89 (0.74-1.06)	1.00 (0.85-1.18)	0.80 (0.66-0.96)^			
Two or more	1.07 (0.89-1.28)	0.88 (0.70-1.11)	0.89 (0.71-1.10)	0.84 (0.68-1.05)			
Language at home	(0.0020)	0.00 (0.10 1.11)	0.00 (0.1 1.1.0)	0.0 . (0.0000)			
English	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Other	1.79 (1.48-2.18)\$	1.33 (1.05-1.67)^	1.04 (0.80-1.35)	1.21 (0.93-1.58)			
Private health insurance	• (• =•)•	((0.0000)	(0.0000)			
Yes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
No/Unknown	1.18 (1.03-1.35)^	1.09 (0.93-1.27)	0.89 (0.76-1.03)	1.06 (0.91-1.24)			
Employment	(1.00)	1.00 (0.00 1.21)	0.00 (0.70 1.00)	1.00 (0.01 1.24)			
Paid employment	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Other	1.16 (1.00-1.33)^	1.02 (0.87-1.20)	1.05 (0.90-1.22)	1.00 (0.84-1.18)			

^P<0.05; #P<0.01; \$P<0.001

References

- 1. Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, Pu K, Chen Z, Guo Q, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;94:91-5.
- 2. England N. COVID-19 Daily Deaths. London: National Health Service England; 2020 12 May 2020.
- 3. Webb Hooper M NA, Pérez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and Racial/Ethnic Disparities JAMA [Internet]. 2020 20 May 2020.
- 4. Paasche-Orlow MK PR, Gazmararian JA, et al. The prevalence of limited health literacy. J Gen Intern Med. 2005;20:175-84.
- 5. Mantwill S M-US, Schulz PJ. The relationship between health literacy and health disparities: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0145455.
- 6. McCaffery K Muscat, D, Donovan J. An urgent call for governments to improve pandemic communications, and address health literacy concerns. Croakey. 2020 7 April 2020.
- 7. Wolf MS, Serper M, Opsasnick L, O'Conor RM, Curtis LM, Benavente JY, et al. Awareness, Attitudes, and Actions Related to COVID-19 Among Adults With Chronic Conditions at the Onset of the U.S. Outbreak: A Cross-sectional Survey. Ann Intern Med. 2020.
- 8. Wallace LS, Rogers ES, Roskos SE, Holiday DB, Weiss BD. Brief report: screening items to identify patients with limited health literacy skills. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21(8):874-7.
- 9. Norman CD, Skinner HA. eHEALS: The eHealth Literacy Scale. J Med Internet Res. 2006;8(4):e27.
- 10. McNaughton CD, Cavanaugh KL, Kripalani S, Rothman RL, Wallston KA. Validation of a Short, 3-Item Version of the Subjective Numeracy Scale. Med Decis Making. 2015;35(8):932-6.
- 11. Wolf MS, Smith SG, Pandit AU, Condon DM, Curtis LM, Griffith J, et al. Development and Validation of the Consumer Health Activation Index. Med Decis Making. 2018;38(3):334-43.
- 12. Marteau TM, Bekker H. The development of a six-item short-form of the state scale of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). Br J Clin Psychol. 1992;31(3):301-6.
- 13. de Souza JA, Yap BJ, Wroblewski K, Blinder V, Araujo FS, Hlubocky FJ, et al. Measuring financial toxicity as a clinically relevant patient-reported outcome: The validation of the COmprehensive Score for financial Toxicity (COST). Cancer. 2017;123(3):476-84.
- 14. My C, Danchin M, Willaby HW, Pemberton S, Leask J. Parental attitudes, beliefs, behaviours and concerns towards childhood vaccinations in Australia: A national online survey. Aust Fam Physician. 2017;46(3):145-51.
- 15. Shapiro GK, Holding A, Perez S, Amsel R, Rosberger Z. Validation of the vaccine conspiracy beliefs scale. Papillomavirus Res. 2016;2:167-72.
- 16. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. Am J Epidemiol. 2004;159(7):702-6.
- 17. Lin L SE, Agboola F, Viswanath K. What have we learned about communication inequalities during the H1N1 pandemic: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:484.
- 18. Morony S WK, Bell KJL, et al. A stepped wedge cluster randomised trial of nurse-delivered Teach-Back in a consumer telehealth service. PLoS One. 2018;13(10):e0206473.

19. Powers BJ TJ, Bosworth HB. Can this patient read and understand written health information? JAMA. 2010;304(1):76-84.