ARTICLE IN PRESS

Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med xxx (2020) xxx-xxx







Editorial

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A critical care perspective beyond China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Influenza SARS-COV-2 Mechanical ventilation Outbreak Coronavirus Intensive care medicine Pneumonia

Clinical evidence helps to progress in patient-level and population-level decision-making. We need to build on prior experience and identify similarities versus differences. In this sense, the pandemic influenza surge in 2009 can be of help. Our colleagues in Wuhan, who placed their lives at risk by treating patients with COVID-19, recently reported their experience in a scenario of crisis management with limited resources [1]. Interpretation of these findings in comparison with first reports of pandemic influenza in European ICUs would help to better confront the current challenge [2]. Some intensivists are extrapolating influenza A (H1N1)pdm2009 data and applying it to COVID-19, but there is a huge difference between the coronavirus and influenza, with respect to the impact of their viral shedding. In influenza A (H1N1)pdm2009, death was not as frequent in the medical and nursing staff than in patients. In SARS and MERS this was the case, and it appears that hospital staff are also particularly vulnerable in COVID-19 [3]. It is likely that some "sick" coronavirus patients shed very large amounts of virus, whereas in influenza that is not necessarily the case. If a healthcare worker is exposed to a large initial inoculum of coronavirus, ARDS can develop rapidly.

To provide useful insight, Table 1 compares the Wuhan report with our experience with the first ICU admissions caused by influenza A (H1N1)pdm2009 [2]. Among 37 ventilated patients in the Wuhan cohort [1], only 4 (10.8%) were alive and free of mechanical ventilation 28-days after ICU admission. In contrast, a first look suggests that 28-day survival rate in the European influenza cohort was more than doubled. This can be due to the delayed intubation (patients admitted in ICU when already under mechanical ventilation or requiring a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) \geq 60%) associated with the extreme working conditions and the limited resources in Wuhan. Indeed, data from a detailed surveillance study from the China CDC indicates that mortality

rates in critically ill patients from other Chinese provinces were lower than 50% [3]. This analysis reports that overall, 80% of confirmed cases were mild, 15% of severe cases required hospitalisation and 5% were critically ill. However, as many patients can remain asymptomatic or with very low symptomatology and because criteria of hospital admission were not standardised, the proportion of patients requiring supportive techniques for hypoxemia is probably lower. COVID-19 is showing respiratory deterioration 7-9 days after onset, which is double the 3-5 days period documented in influenza pandemic, suggesting that it cannot be related to the viral load. This interpretation may justify the high rate of use of steroids in the Wuhan report [1]. Despite some controversies, steroids, alpha-interferon and macrolides are not beneficial [4]. Prior experience with viral pneumonia, including influenza and MERS-coronavirus, suggest that steroids can contribute to higher mortality, increase viral replication with longer periods of viral clearance and more superinfections (including invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, as already reported in the Wuhan cohort) [5,6].

Another difference is that most COVID-19 infected patients were diagnosed with viral pneumonia, whereas acute exacerbations of COPD or bronchospasm or myocarditis were more common in influenza. The effect on epidemiology and presentation is unknown due to its coexistence within the epidemic season of influenza. Because presentation is overlapping, tests for SARS-CoV-2 should be conducted in patients with severe pneumonia of unknown aetiology, concomitantly with the search for other respiratory viruses.

A common aspect with the influenza virus is the tropism for lower respiratory tract and its impact on the interpretation of diagnostic tests [7]. RT-PCR tests can be affected by sampling errors and viral load, with prior studies in SARS demonstrating low sensitivity during the first days after onset. Moreover, multiple RT-PCR tests of throat or nasopharyngeal swabs have been reported as false negative when compared with BAL tests. Its consequent impact on screening of potential organ or tissue donors is the reason why a definition of clinically "suspected cases" of acute respiratory disease was introduced for recording cases in Hubei, and why chest CT for COVID-19 screening is currently conducted in China. Practical implications are that personal protective equipment (PPE) should be used and infection control measures should not be minimised in patients with pneumonia and high clinical suspicion, due to the high risk of spread and contagion of this virus. In intubated patients, a non-bronchoscopic BAL (Combicath [®])

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2020.03.001

2352-5568/© 2020 Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (Sfar). Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Editorial/Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med xxx (2020) xxx-xxx

 Table 1

 Baseline characteristics of ICU patients with confirmed acute respiratory illness.

Study	Yang et al., 2020 [1]	Rello et al., 2009 [2]
Illness	SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia	influenza A (H1N1)pdm2009
Total patients with confirmed illness	52	32
Age, mean (SD)	59.7 (13.3)	40 (13.9)
Sex		
Male	35 (67%)	21 (65.6%)
Female	17 (33%)	34.4% (11)
Days from onset symptoms to ICU admission, median (IQR)	9.5 (7-12.5)	3 (2-6)
Days from onset symptoms to diagnosis, median (IQR)	5 (3-7)	2 (1-6)
APACHE II score, mean (SD)	16.7 (1.3)	13.8 (6.4)
SOFA score, mean (SD)	-	7.1 (3.3)
Signs and symptoms ^a		
Fever	98%	96%
Cough	77%	88%
Dyspnoea	63.5%	-
Malaise	35%	30%
Myalgia	11.5%	69%
Headache	6%	45.9%
Rhinorrhoea	6%	-
Vomiting	4%	-
Arthralgia	2%	-
Chest pain	2%	-
Sore throat	-	58%
Sudden onset symptoms	-	46%
Treatment		
Antibacterial agents	49 (94%)	32 (100%)
beta-lactam plus fluoroquinolones	-	20 (62.5%)
beta-lactam plus macrolides	-	6 (18.7%)
beta-lactam plus linezolid	-	5 (15.6%)
levofloxacin as monotherapy	-	1 (3.1%)
Steroids	30 (58%)	11 (34.4%)
MV	37 (71%)	24 (75%)
Invasive	22 (42%)	16 (66.6%)
Non-invasive	29 (56%)	8 (33.3%)
HFNC	33 (63.5%)	-
Immunoglobulin	28 (54%)	-
Antiviral agents	23 (44%)	21 (65.6%)
Oseltamivir standard dose (75 mg twice/daily)	18 (35%)	32 (100%)
Oseltamivir high dose (150 mg twice/daily)	-	10 (31.2%)
Ganiciclovir	14 (27%)	-
Lopinavir	7 (13.5%)	-
Vasoconstrictive agents	18 (35%)	20 (62.5%)
Renal replacement therapy	9 (17%)	7 (21.9%)
Prone position ventilation	6 (11.5%)	8 (33%)
ECMO	6 (11.5%)	Not implemented
Comorbidities/Complications	ARDS: 35 (67%)	Obesity: 10 (31.3%)
	Hyperglycaemia: 18 (35%)	BMI 30 to 40: 6 (18.7%)
	Acute kidney injury: 15 (29%)	Asthma: 5 (15.6%)
	Liver dysfunction: 15 (29%)	BMI > 40: 4 (12.5%)
	Cardiac injury: 12 (23%)	COPD: 4 (12.5%)
	HAP: 7 (13.5%)	Pregnancy: 2 (6.3%)
	Gastrointestinal haemorrhage: 2 (4%)	Heart failure: 1 (3.1%)
	Pneumothorax: 1 (2%)	Arterial hypertension: 1 (3.1%)
	Bacteraemia: 1 (2%)	Chronic renal failure: 1 (3.1%)
	Urinary tract infection: 1 (2%)	Diabetes mellitus: 1 (3.1%)
		HIV: 1 (3.1%)
		Neuromuscular disease: 1 (3.1
		Haematologic disease: 1 (3.1%)
Pathogens identified		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1 (2%)	3 (9.3%)
Aspergillus flavus	1 (2%)	1 (3.1%)
Aspegillus.fumigates	1 (2%)	-
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1 (2%)	-
Serratia marcescens	1 (2%)	-
Invasive candidiasis	1 (2%)	-
Overall 28-day Mortality	32 (61.5%)	16 (30.8%)
Length of MV for survivors, median (IQR)		10 (1-21)

SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SD: standard desviation; IQR: interquartile range; ICU: intensive care unit; APACHE: acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; SOFA: sequential organ failure assessment; MV: mechanical ventilation; HFNC: High-flow nasal cannula; ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; HAP: hospital-acquired pneumonia; BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HIV: positive human immunodeficiency virus; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation

2

^a Rello et al. reported signs and symptoms from a total of 735 cases of influenza A (H1N1)v that were confirmed in Spain in 2009.

Editorial/Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med xxx (2020) xxx-xxx

specimen should be obtained (rather than a CT scan) in cases with negative RT-PCR upper respiratory tract swabs.

Thin-slice Chest CT findings have been recommended in Hubei, China as a major evidence for clinical diagnosis of COVID-19. Typical CT findings of COVID-19 include peripherally distributed multifocal ground-glass opacities with patchy consolidations and posterior part of lower lobe involvement predilection. Serial CT scans have been used to monitor evolution. Extent and densities of ground-glass opacities indicate disease progression [8]. It is not clear that it would provide better information than monitoring hypoxemia, as a surrogate of severity.

Protecting health care workers and preparedness of ICUs to confront an epidemic cluster should be the main priority, based on experiences learnt from MERS-coronavirus and 2003 SARS coronavirus [8]. Recently, antiseptic hand rubbing using ethanol-based disinfectants was found to be less effective than hand washing in inactivating influenza virus under experimental conditions [9]. For patients with coronavirus suspicion in the ICU, airborne plus contact precautions and eye protection should be implemented. During aerosol-generation procedures, wearing a fit-tested N95 mask in addition to gloves, gown and face/eye protection is recommended. Open suctioning of the respiratory tract, manual ventilation before intubation, nebuliser treatment, and chest compressions were identified as risk procedures during the SARS outbreak [10]. Close-circuit suctioning may reduce exposure to aerosols in intubated patients. Thus, support with early diagnosis, implementation of effective infection control measures, and limitation of procedures associated with risk of environmental and personal contamination, such as aerosolisation, bronchoscopies or transfers for CT scans should be implemented.

Ventilator strategies favouring aerosolisation, such as non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NIV), which may quite delay but not avoid intubation, should be limited, and hypoxemia rescue therapies such as nitric oxide should be implemented. Use of non-invasive ventilation is controversial, showing limited efficacy in MERS and is associated with very high levels of aerosol spread, exposing staff at much greater risk of infection [11,12]. However, NIV can avoid the need for ventilation, at least in SARS. There is therefore an argument that it may be appropriate only if adequate levels of staff protective equipment are available [13]. In influenza, a small cohort of patients showed that high-flow nasal cannula was associated with avoidance of intubation in 45% of patients, although those with shock or high severity of illness required intubation [14]. Thus, efforts should be done not to delay intubation in patients with viral pneumonia and acute respiratory failure.

In summary, it is necessary to go beyond China, as some results and practices may not be generalisable elsewhere. A priority should be to protect healthcare workers from exposure. ICU doctors should participate in early identification and lead the management of these patients.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

References

[1] Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a singlecentered, retrospective, observational study. Lancet Respir Med 2020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5 [Epub Ahead of print].

- [2] Rello J, Rodríguez A, Ibañez P, Socias L, Cebrian J, Marques A, et al. Intensive care adult patients with severe respiratory failure caused by Influenza A (H1N1)v in Spain. Crit Care 2009;13:R148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc8044.
- [3] Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team.. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2020;41:145–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003.
- [4] Shang L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Du R, Cao B. On the use of corticosteroids for 2019-nCoV pneumonia. The Lancet 2020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30361-5 [Epub Ahead of print].
- [5] Diaz E, Martin-Loeches I, Canadell L, Vidaur L, Suarez D, Socias L, et al. Corticosteroid therapy in patients with primary viral pneumonia due to pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza. J Infect 2012;64:311–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2011.12.010.
- [6] Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, Sindi AA, Almekhlafi GA, Hussein MA, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;197:757–67. http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201706-1172OC.
- [7] Jansson M, Liao X, Rello J. Strengthening ICU health security for a coronavirus epidemic. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2020;102812. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2020.102812.
- [8] Fang Y, Zhang H, Xie J, Lin M, Ying L, Pang P, et al. Sensitivity of Chest CT for COVID-19: Comparison to RT-PCR. Radiology 2020;200432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200432 [Epub Ahead of print].
- [9] Hirose R, Nakaya T, Naito Y, Daidoji T, Bandou R, Inoue K, et al. Situations Leading to Reduced Effectiveness of Current Hand Hygiene against Infectious Mucus from Influenza Virus-Infected Patients. mSphere 2019;4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00474-19.
- [10] Arabi YM, Fowler R, Hayden FG. Critical care management of adults with community-acquired severe respiratory viral infection. Intensive Care Med 2020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-05943-5 [Epub Ahead of print].
- [11] Alraddadi BM, Qushmaq I, Al-Hameed FM, Mandourah Y, Almekhlafi GA, Jose J, et al. Noninvasive ventilation in critically ill patients with the Middle East respiratory syndrome. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 2019;13:382–90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/irv.12635.
- [12] Hui D, Yan F, Chen R-H. The effects of azithromycin on patients with diffuse panbronchiolitis: a retrospective study of 29 cases. J Thorac Dis 2013;5:613-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2013.09.01.
- [13] McCracken J. Should noninvasive ventilation be considered a high-risk procedure during an epidemic? CMAJ 2009;181:663–4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1503/cmai.081987.
- [14] Rello J, Pérez M, Roca O, Poulakou G, Souto J, Laborda C, et al. High-flow nasal therapy in adults with severe acute respiratory infection: a cohort study in patients with 2009 influenza A/H1N1 v. J Crit Care 2012;27:434–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.icrc.2012.04.006.

Jordi Rello^{a,b,c,*}, Sofia Tejada^{a,b}, Caroline Userovici^{d,e},
Kostoula Arvaniti^f, Jérôme Pugin^g, Grant Waterer^h

^aCentro de Investigación Biomedica en Red de Enfermedades
Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

^bClinical Research/Epidemiology in Pneumonia and Sepsis (CRIPS), Vall
d'Hebron Institut of Research (VHIR), Barcelona, Spain

^cAnaesthesiology and Critical Care Division, CHU Nîmes, University
Montpellier-Nîmes, Nîmes, France

^aDépartement Anesthésie Réanimation, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

^eJunior Group of the French Society of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine (SFAR), Paris, France

^fCritical Care Department, General Hospital Papageorgiou, Thessaloniki, Greece

^gDivision of Intensive Care, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

^hSchool of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

*Corresponding author at: Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca, Ps Vall d'Hebron 119 AMI-14th floor, 08035 Barcelona, Spain E-mail address: jrello@crips.es (I. Rello).

Available online xxx

3