1	Evaluation of recombinant nucleocapsid and spike proteins for						
2	serological diagnosis of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)						
3	Running title: Diagnostic tools for COVID-19 infections						
4	Pingping Zhang <sup>1, 2, #</sup> , Qi Gao <sup>2, 3, #</sup> , Tang Wang <sup>4, #</sup> , Yuehua Ke <sup>5</sup> , Fei Mo <sup>6, 7</sup> , Ruizhong						
5	Jia <sup>5</sup> , Wanbing Liu <sup>8</sup> , Lei Liu <sup>8</sup> , Shangen Zheng <sup>8</sup> , Yuzhen Liu <sup>9</sup> , Luping Li <sup>10</sup> , Yao Wang <sup>11</sup> ,						
6	Lei Xu <sup>2,3</sup> , Kun Hao <sup>2,3</sup> , Ruifu Yang <sup>1,2,\$</sup> , Shiyue Li <sup>4,*</sup> , Changqing Lin <sup>2,3,*</sup> , Yong						
7	Zhao <sup>1, 2,</sup> *						
8							
9	<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Microbiology						
10	and Epidemiology, Beijing 100071, P. R. China						
11	<sup>2</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of POCT for Bioemergency and Clinic (No. BZ0329),						
12	Beijing 100071, P. R. China						
13	<sup>3</sup> Beijing Hotgen Biotechnology Inc., Beijing 100071, P. R. China						
14	<sup>4</sup> Wuhan University School of Health Sciences, P. R. China						
15	<sup>5</sup> Huoshenshan Hospital, Wuhan, P. R. China						
16	<sup>6</sup> Center for Clinical Laboratories, Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University,						
17	Guiyang 550004, P. R. China						
18	<sup>7</sup> Department of Basic Clinical Laboratory Medicine, School of Clinical Laboratory						
19	Science, Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang 550004, P. R. China						
20	<sup>8</sup> General Hospital of Central Threater Command of the PLA, Wuhan, P. R. China						
21	<sup>9</sup> Shijiazhuang Fifth Hospital, Shijiazhuang 050023, P. R. China						

- <sup>10</sup> The Sixth People's Hospital of Shenyang, P. R. China
- 2 <sup>11</sup> Department of Clinical Laboratory, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking
- 3 Union Medical College, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, P. R. China
- 4
- 5 <sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 6 <sup>\$</sup> Senior author
- 7 \*Corresponding authors:
- 8 Yong Zhao: zhaoyong179@139.com
- 9 Changqing Lin: changqing.lin@hotgen.com.cn
- 10 Shiyue Li: lsywhd@sina.com

## 1 Abstract

2	Background: The colloidal gold immunochromatography assay (GICA) is a rapid
3	diagnostic tool for novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections. However,
4	with significant numbers of false negatives, improvements to GICA are needed.
5	Methods: Six recombinant HCoV-19 nucleocapsid and spike proteins were prepared
6	and evaluated. The optimal proteins were employed to develop a sandwich-format
7	GICA strip to detect total antibodies (IgM and IgG) against HCoV-19. GICA's
8	performance was assessed with comparison of viral RNA detection.
9	Results: Recombinant HCoV-19 proteins were obtained, including three
10	prokaryotically expressed rN, rN1, rN2 nucleocapsid proteins, and three
11	eukaryotically expressed rS1, rS-RBD, rS-RBD-mFc spike proteins. The recombinant
12	proteins with the highest ELISA titers (rS1 and rS-RBD-mFc) against
13	coronavirus-specific IgM and IgG were chosen for GICA development. The GICA
14	has a sensitivity and specificity of 86.89% (106/122) and 99.39% (656/660),
15	respectively. Furthermore, 65.63% (21/32) of the clinically confirmed but RT-PCR
16	negative samples were GICA positive.
17	Conclusions: The eukaryotically-expressed spike proteins (rS1and rS-RBD-mFc) are
18	more suitable than the prokaryotically expressed nucleocapsid proteins for HCoV-19
19	serological diagnosis. The GICA sandwich used to detect total antibodies is a
20	powerful complement to the current standard RNA-based tests.
21	Keywords: HCoV-19 (SARS-CoV-2), Recombinant protein, Eukaryotic expression,

22 Serological diagnosis, Colloidal gold immunochromatography assay (GICA)

## 1 Introduction

2	The recent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak has been classified as a
3	global pandemic on March 12, 2020, which continues to pose a great threat to global
4	public health. The disease is caused by a novel coronavirus, called 2019-nCoV by the
5	World Health Organization at the beginning of the outbreak, and latterly, severe acute
6	respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by the ICTV (International
7	Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses) [1]. However, this name is prone to confusion
8	with SARS-CoV, which emerged in 2003, and a suggestion for a distinct name was
9	proposed, human coronavirus 2019 (HCoV-19) [2]. This novel virus is potentially
10	more transmissible than SARS-CoV and other coronavirus [3], making early
11	diagnosis of it important for clinical treatment and disease control. However, the
12	current nucleic acid testing approach for HCoV-19 [4] carries a negligible
13	false-negative risk [5]. Serological assays are supposedly a powerful approach for
14	achieving timely diagnosis of COVID-19, as is nucleic acid testing, especially for
15	patients with undetectable viral RNA [6]. The serological assays used for early
16	diagnosis are mainly based on detecting specific antibodies against HCoV-19 in a
17	patient's serum and include the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), the
18	colloidal gold immunochromatography assay (GICA) and the chemiluminescence
19	assay.

To develop a reliable serological assay, it is important to obtain suitable HCoV-19
antigens or related recombinant proteins. HCoV-19 is a β family coronavirus, with a

1	spike protein (S protein), envelope protein (E), membrane protein (M), and
2	nucleocapsid protein (N protein). Among them, the N-protein is the most abundant,
3	relatively conservative protein in coronaviruses; thus, it is often used as a diagnostic
4	antigen [7]. Our previous study showed that the antigenicity of the COOH terminus is
5	higher than that of the $NH_2$ terminus in the SARS-CoV N protein, and that the former
6	N protein fragment may have the same antigenicity as that seen with the full-length N
7	protein [8]. In contrast, the S protein is the common target when designing vaccines
8	based on neutralizing antibodies. It contains a receptor binding domain (S-RBD) in
9	the S1 subunit, which mediates receptor binding and membrane fusion [9, 10].
10	Recently, S-RBD from HCoV-19 was reported to have higher affinity than
11	SARS-CoV to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, and also to lack cross-reactivity with
12	monoclonal antibodies against S-RBD from SARS-CoV [3], highlighting the potential
13	value of the S protein for diagnosing HCoV-19. As a transmembrane protein with
14	hydrophobic regions, the S protein is better prepared using a eukaryotic expression
15	system.

In the present study, we prepared six recombinant proteins based on the reported HCoV-19 sequence (GenBank accession MN908947) [11]. Three recombinant N proteins were obtained by prokaryotic expression, including the full-length recombinant N protein (rN),  $NH_2$  terminal (rN1) protein fragments, and COOH terminal (rN2) fragments from this protein. Three recombinant S proteins were also obtained by eukaryotic expression, including an S1 domain (rS1) fragment, the

1	receptor binding domain (rS-RBD), and S-RBD ligated to the Fc fragment from
2	mouse (rS-RBD-mFc). The recombinant proteins were evaluated using indirect
3	ELISA. Two recombinant proteins with the highest ELISA titers (rS1and
4	rS-RBD-mFc) were chosen to develop a sandwich-format GICA strip to detect total
5	antibodies (IgM and IgG) against HCoV-19. The performance of the GICA strip,
6	which was evaluated with 814 clinical samples, showed a high detection sensitivity
7	(86.89%) and specificity (99.39%) with samples from COVID-19-infected patients.
8	
9	Materials and Methods
10	Ethics statement
11	This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Peking Union
12	Medical College Hospital (approval number 002285), General Hospital of Central
13	Threater Command of the PLA (approval number 2020-003-1), and Shijiazhuang
14	Fifth Hospital (approval number 2020-002). Written informed consent from all the
15	patients was obtained, and all records and information on the patients were
16	anonymized.
17	Materials
18	DNA polymerase (2×Pfu MasterMix) was purchased from Beijing TransGen
19	Biotech (Beijing, China). T4 DNA ligase and Gibison Assembly kit were from New
20	England BioLabs Inc., (Ipswich, England). Eukaryotic vectors H293 and H293-Fc,
21	which were used for transient expression, were obtained from the Laboratory of

1	Protein Project, Beijing Institute of Biotechnology, China. FreeStyle <sup>TM</sup> 293 expression
2	medium, Opti-MEM <sup>®</sup> I (1×), a reduced serum medium, and 293 fectin <sup>TM</sup> reagents
3	were purchased from Invitrogen Inc., (CA, Carlsbad, USA). The Unique CDSystem
4	for protein purification was from Suzhou Inscinstech Co., Ltd. (Suzhou, China). The
5	DNA extraction/purification kit was from Beijing TransGen Biotech. Primer
6	construction and sequencing work were conducted by Beijing Tianyi Huiyuan Biotech
7	Ltd., and Beijing Biomed Biotech Ltd., respectively. GICA nitrocellulose (NC)
8	membranes were obtained from MilliporeSigma. (Saint Louis, MO, USA), glass fiber
9	and absorbent pads were from Shanghai Kinbio Tech. Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).
10	The gene sequences of the recombinant proteins were all derived from the RNA
11	sequence of HCoV-19 strain from Wuhan (GenBank accession MN908947). The
12	nucleotide positions of the gene sequences are rS1 (21602-23584), rS-RBD
13	(22514–23311), rN (28274–29530), rN1 (28274–28900), and rN2 (28901–29530).
14	The N, N1, and N2 gene cloning vectors were constructed by General Biosystems Co.,
15	Ltd (Anhui, China). The S1 DNA sequence was optimized and synthesized by
16	GenScript Co., Ltd (Nanjing, China). The vector and bacterial strains for prokaryotic
17	expression were pET28a, E. coli Rosetta or BL21(DE3).
18	Gene subcloning, eukaryotic expression vector construction, and protein
19	expression and purification of rS1, rS-RBD-mFc and rS-RBD
20	The full coding region, which was obtained by overlapping extension PCR using
21	primers containing restriction enzyme recognition sites (Table 1), was ligated to

1	HEK293 vectors after digestion. The recombinant plasmids were transformed into E.
2	coli DH5 $\alpha$ , and bacterial colonies were selected on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar
3	containing ampicillin. Positive colonies were cultured in 500 $\mu$ L LB liquid medium
4	for 2-4 h, and the resultant plasmids were extracted, PCR-verified, and sequenced.
5	Positive recombinant plasmids (210 $\mu$ g each) and liposomes (280 $\mu$ L) were diluted in
6	7 mL of opti-MEM medium for 5 min, respectively, mixed for 30 min and H293 cells
7	$(1.2 \times 10^6 \text{/mL})$ were added. After culturing (120 rpm, 37°C with 5% CO <sub>2</sub> , 3–4 days),
8	the cell supernatants collected by centrifugation were purified with a 0.44- $\mu$ m filter
9	and the Unique CDSystem chromatography workstation. After column Protein A
10	balancing (10 column volumes) with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the cell
11	supernatants were placed under a flow rate of 2.0 ml/min, and then washed and eluted
12	with PBS (five column volumes) and citric acid buffer (pH 3.0) to collect the purified
13	protein. For desalination, a 1/3 sample volume was applied to a G25 column
14	pre-balanced with PBS (5 column volumes), and each protein was collected after
15	column washes with PBS. Their purities were confirmed by sodium dodecyl
16	sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).
17	Gene subcloning, expression vector construction, protein expression and
18	purification of rN, rN1 and rN2
19	The N protein's full coding region was PCR amplified using primers containing
20	restriction enzyme recognition sites (Table 1). The restricted amplicons were ligated
21	into pET28a or pET32a using T4 DNA ligase. The recombinant plasmids were

1	transformed into E. Coli Rosetta or BL21 (DE3), and the bacterial colonies were
2	selected on LB agar containing kanamycin. Recombinant plasmids in the bacterial
3	colonies were extracted and confirmed as authentic by DNA sequencing. Protein
4	expression was induced for 5 h in positive bacterial colonies with 0.5 mM
5	isopropyl-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at a starting optical density (OD) of 0.6.
6	After centrifugation, the supernatants and precipitation products from the lyzed
7	bacteria were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The rN, rN1 and rN2 recombinant proteins
8	were produced by the bacteria harboring the recombinant plasmids via IPTG
9	induction in 2 L of LB medium (20°C, 200 $\times$ g, 10 h), and the bacteria were harvested
10	and lyzed by ultrasonication (300 W, 30 min). The supernatants were clarified by
11	centrifugation (10,000 $\times$ g, 20 min), filtrated (0.4-µm filter membrane), and run
12	through a 3 mL Ni Sepharose column with 30 ml lysis buffer, and then washed
13	extensively with PBS containing imidazole (20-80 mM gradient) to remove
14	non-specific proteins. The target proteins were eluted with PBS containing 250 mM
15	imidazole.
16	[Table 1]
17	Preliminary evaluation of recombinant proteins by ELISA
18	The recombinant proteins were initially evaluated by indirect ELISA. After coating
19	the wells with the prepared recombinant proteins, $50\mu L$ of serially diluted human
20	samples were added to the wells and mixed with $50\mu L$ of horseradish peroxidase
21	(HRP)-labeled goat anti-human IgM or IgG, followed by incubation (37°C, 60 min).

After the solution was removed and the wells had been washed with PBS buffer containing Tween-20 five times, 50 μL of tetramethylbenzidine substrate was added to the wells in the plate for 15 min. OD values were measured on a microplate reader (450/630 nm), and recombinant proteins with high OD values for COVID-19 patient serum and low OD reads for the negative control serum were used for all further experiments.

7 ELISA plates coated with the six recombinant proteins were first tested with seven 8 negative sera from healthy people. Their average values plus two-fold standard 9 deviations (MEAN+2SD) were used as the cutoffs. However, they were not 10 completely equivalent for each protein and some were set at 0.2 when the calculated 11 values were below 0.2. Sera from two patients with COVID-19 were diluted at ratios 12 of 1:800, 1:400 and 1:100 for IgM detection, and ratio of 1:80, 1:40 and 1:20 for IgG 13 detection. The average value (MEAN) from the negative serum samples was set as the 14 background value, and the lowest antibody titer with a value higher than the cutoff 15 was set as the sensitivity level.

### 16 **Preparing the GICA strip with recombinant proteins for antibody detection**

17 Colloidal gold suspension was prepared by reducing gold chloride with citrate. The 18 colloidal gold was conjugated to recombinant protein rS-RBD. Briefly, 1 mg of 19 rS-RBD was added to 100 mL of the colloidal gold suspension. After a 30 min 20 reaction, conjugation was blocked using 10 mL of 10% bovine serum albumin (BSA)

#### 1 for 15 min. The colloidal gold conjugate collected (centrifugation at 12,000 rpm, 30

2 min, 4°C) was resuspended in PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.1% Tween-20.

3	The colloidal gold and rS-RBD (0.5 mg/mL) conjugate was applied to a conjugate
4	pad (glass fiber) (30 $\mu L/cm,$ dried at 37 °C, 3 h). Using a dispenser (XYZ3000;
5	BioDot, Irvine, CA), rS1 and the secondary polyclonal antibody (2 mg/mL) were
6	coated onto the nitrocellulose membrane as the test and control lines, respectively, at a
7	dispensing rate of 1.0 $\mu L/cm.$ The membrane was then dried at 37 $^{\circ}C$ for 1 h. Finally,
8	the nitrocellulose membrane, conjugate pad, sample pad and the absorbent pads were
9	assembled and cut into 4-mm strips.

### 10 Evaluating the GICA strips

11 A positive serum sample was serially diluted with running buffer at a ratio of 12 1:10–1:320. The limit of detection of the GICA strip was determined using 100  $\mu$ L of 13 the sample, and 0.9% NaCl was used as the blank control. The results could be 14 obtained by naked eyes after 5-10 min. Each test was repeated three times. 15 Additionally, 41 serially-diluted samples collected from healthy men were tested to 16 evaluate the specificity of the GICA strips.

Another 814 serum samples from patients suspected of harboring COVID-19 infections were collected from Huoshenshan Hospital, General Hospital of Central Threater Command of the PLA, the Sixth People's Hospital of Shenyang, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, and Shijiazhuang Fifth Hospital in China. All samples were tested on the GICA strips, along with PCR tests on nasal/pharyngeal

- 1 swab for comparison. PCRs were performed with officially approved real-time PCR
- 2 (RT-PCR) kits.
- 3

#### 4 **Results**

5 Acquisition of the six recombinant proteins

6 Through gene subcloning by overlapping extension PCR and ligation to the HEK 7 293 vector, the S1, S-RBD-mFc (containing the mouse Fc fragment) and S-RBD 8 recombinant plasmids were constructed and verified by PCR and sequencing (Figure 9 1A). Following lipofection, transient expression in eukaryotic HEK293 cells and 10 protein purification, highly pure rS1, rS-RBD-mFc and rS-RBD were obtained 11 (Figure 1D).

12 Through gene subcloning and ligation to the pET vector, recombinant N, N1 and 13 N2 plasmids were constructed and verified by PCR and sequencing (Figure 1B and C). 14 The rN, rN1 and rN2 expression products from IPTG induction at 37°C in E. coli 15 were identified in the culture supernatants and in the precipitates (Figure 1E and F), 16 so the induction temperature was lowered to 20°C, which increased the protein in the 17 supernatants significantly. The supernatant proteins were purified and used for 18 subsequent studies (Figure 1E and F). That the HCoV-19 N proteins were present in 19 the precipitates implies that their expression in E. coli may influence their accurate 20 folding or conformation, because the viral N protein may be conformationally

1	modified	after	transcription	in	human	cells.	Overall,	six	high-yield	recombinant

- 2 proteins from HCoV-19 with high purities were obtained, as summarized in Table 2.
- 3 [Figure 1]
- 4 [Table 2]

### 5 Preliminary ELISA evaluation of recombinant proteins

Indirect ELISAs were used to preliminarily evaluate the six recombinant proteins.
Using serum samples from seven healthy people as the negative controls, serial
samples from two patients in the acute phase (<7 days after symptom onset) of</li>
COVID-19 were detected. For the S proteins, the coefficient of variation (CV) for the
seven negative samples was 20%–30%. For the N proteins, the CV was 74%–92%,
resulting in a high cutoff value.

For IgM detection, all the S proteins had lower backgroud values and higher OD 13 values than those of the N proteins, as well as higher sentivities (1:800) (Figure 2A 14 and 2C). For IgG detection, rS-RBD-mFc had the highest sensitivity (1:80), while the 15 second highest sensivity (1:40) was attained by rS1, rS-RBD and rN (Figure 2B and 16 2D). Although with the same sensitivity and similar background, rS1 produced a 17 higher OD value than rS-RBD. The better performance of rS-RBD-mFc when 18 compared with rS-RBD may be related to the protein Fc fragments, which can 19 increase the half-life and stability for the RBD protein fragment from the S protein. 20 Overall, rS-RBD-mFc and rS1 were best suited for IgM and IgG detection.

1	The IgM-specific sensitivities for ELISA (from 1:100 to 1:800) are all higher than
2	those for IgG (1:20-1:80), mostly because the positive serial samples came from
3	patients during the acute phase of COVID-19 infection. Additionally, the antigenicity
4	of full-length rN was higher than that of fragments rN1 and rN2, which is consistent
5	with the findings from our previous study on SARS-CoV [8]. However, the higher
6	sensitivity of rN1 over rN2 suggests that the antigenicity of the protein fragment at
7	the $NH_2$ terminus of the N protein was higher than that at the COOH terminus, which
8	is the opposite result of our previous study on SARS-CoV [8].
9	[Figure 2]
10	Recombinant protein evaluation by GICA tests
11	As shown in Figure 3, a double-antigen sandwich-format GICA strip developed
12	with recombinant rS-RBD and rS1 proteins was used to detect total antibodies (IgM
13	and IgG) against HCoV-19 in serum samples. Positive results were observed at a
14	1:160 dilution of the patient serum, indicating that the GICA strip was able to detect
15	low- titer antibodies in serum. Moreover, several samples from patients infected with
16	influenza A, influenza B, respiratory syncytial virus, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, and
17	Chlamydia pneumoniae were all tested by GICA. No cross-reactions were observed
18	with these samples. An additional 41 serum samples from healthy people were all
19	negatively detected by the GICA strips, demonstrating good specificity for the
20	rS-RBD- and rS1-based serological methods.

[Figure 3]

#### 1 GICA strip performances on serum samples

2	Altogether, 814 serum samples from HCoV-19-suspected patients were tested on
3	GICA strips, including 122 patients confirmed to be RT-PCR positive, 660 confirmed
4	to be RT-PCR negative, and 32 confirmed to be RT-PCR negative but clinically
5	diagnosed by computed tomography (CT). The positive coincidence and negative
6	coincidence rates between GICA and RT-PCR were 86.89% and 99.39%, respectively
7	(Table 3). Moreover, among the 32 RT-PCR negative clinically diagnosed samples, 21
8	(65.63%) were detectable by GICA. This shows that GICA has a high coincidence
9	rate with RT-PCR tests and also complements the PCR negative, clinically diagnosed
10	cases, providing a potentially powerful serological tool for diagnosing COVID-19 in
11	patients.

12

#### 13 Discussion

Rapid detection methods are urgently required for the early diagnosis of patients with fever [10, 12, 13], and for pre-symptomatic or asymptomatic carriers infected with HCoV-19 [12, 14]. However, nucleic acid-based molecular diagnosis tools (e.g., real-time PCR and loop-mediated isothermal amplification), depend on there being a sufficient viral load in the patient's upper respiratory tract and reasonable sample quality. In the early infection stages, human antibodies, especially IgM, can reach a high level during the immune response to viral invasion. Therefore, serological testing

is important for early COVID-19 diagnosis, especially for patients with undetectable
 viral RNA [10].

3 Selecting suitable recombinant HCoV-19 proteins for reliable serological assays is 4 crucial. Some cross-reactive N proteins from another coronavirus were serologically 5 investigated to meet the rapidly developing HCoV-19 emergency [9, 15]; however, 6 the divergent receptor binding protein spike gene in other CoVs [9], as well as the 7 high antigenicity of the receptor binding domain of HCoV-19 [3] emphasized the necessity to research HCoV-19 antigens more comprehensively. Consequently, in the 8 9 present study, we prepared six recombinant proteins, including three S proteins (rS1, 10 rS-RBD, rS-RBD-mFc) and three N proteins (rN, rN1, rN2). Because the S protein is 11 a transmembrane protein, three S protein fragments were prepared using a eukaryotic 12 expression system, while three N proteins were prepared using a prokaryotic 13 expression system. Preliminary evaluation by indirect ELISA revealed that the three S 14 proteins were superior in performance to the three N proteins. Thus, it can be inferred 15 that the eukaryotically expressed HCOV-19 recombinant S protein is suitable for 16 detection of antibodies from COVID-19 patients for early diagnosis, and is superior to 17 the N protein prepared by prokaryotic expression. However, the antigenicity of S 18 proteins and that of the N proteins could not be confirmed in this study, because the 19 influence of prokaryotic expression method used to produce the N proteins is difficult 20 to predict. The prokaryotically expressed recombinant N proteins were all insoluble 21 precipitates, especially rN2, making it likely that their natural conformations were lost

- 1 or they were not normally modified. Thus, the N protein's antigenicity should be
- 2 studied after expression in a eukaryotic system in future.
- 3 GICA, a simple and rapid serological method, is especially suitable for timely 4 diagnosis and large-scale sample screening. A recent study showed that the sensitivity 5 of the total antibody (IgM and IgG) test is higher than that of the IgM or IgG test [16]. 6 Therefore, we developed a sandwich-format GICA with rS1 and rS-RBD-mFc to 7 detect total antibodies in patient serum. The GICA tests were highly sensitive 8 (86.89%) and specific (99.39%), which should help with diagnosing COVID-19 in 9 RT-PCR-negative patients who are clinically confirmed COVID-19 positive by CT. 10 The detection sensitivity will be improved if we eliminate the sera from patients 11 within the 5 days of symptoms onset.
- 12

#### 13 **Conclusions**

In the study, six recombinant HCoV-19 proteins were prepared and selected. It showed that the eukaryotically-expressed spike proteins (rS1and rS-RBD-mFc) are more suitable than the prokaryotically expressed nucleocapsid proteins for HCoV-19 serological diagnosis. The provided recombinant proteins could be used for development of serological diagnostic tools for COVID-19 infections. Here, A sandwich-format GICA strip with the recombinant proteins was developed to detect total antibodies (IgM and IgG) against HCoV-19. The assay was shown to be a rapid

- 1 (10 min), simple, and highly sensitive diagnostic tool, providing a potentially
- 2 powerful serological method for diagnosing COVID-19.

### 1 Notes

#### 2 Acknowledgments

- 3 We thank the clinical study investigators and volunteers. We thank for our
- 4 collaborators in the Laboratory of Protein Project, Beijing Institute of Biotechnology,
- 5 for providing the eukaryotic vectors.

## 6 Financial support

- 7 Funding for this study was provided by The National Key Research and
- 8 Development Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFC1200502), and The National
- 9 Science and Technology Major Project (Grant No. 2018ZX10101003-002).

### 10 **Potential conflicts of interest**

- 11 All authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the
- 12 ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.
- 13 No part of the information has previously been presented in any meetings.

## 14 Correspondence

- 15 Y. Z, Dr., Beijing Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, No. 20, Dongdajie
- 16 street, Fengtai district, Beijing 100071, P. R. China (<u>zhaoyong179@139.com</u>)
- 17 C. L., Mr., Beijing Key Laboratory of POCT for Bioemergency and Clinic (No.
- 18 BZ0329), Beijing 100071, P. R. China (changqing.lin@hotgen.com.cn)
- 19 S. L., Dr., Wuhan University School of Health Sciences, Wuhan 430071, P. R. China
- 20 (lsywhd@sina.com)
- 21

## 1 **References**

- 2 1. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V.
- 3 The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying
- 4 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol **2020**.
- 5 2. Jiang S, Shi Z, Shu Y, et al. A distinct name is needed for the new coronavirus.
- 6 Lancet **2020**.
- 7 3. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike
- 8 in the prefusion conformation. Science **2020**.
- 9 4. Chu DKW, Pan Y, Cheng SMS, et al. Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel
- 10 Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia. Clinical
- 11 Chemistry **2020**.
- 12 5. Zhang W, Du RH, Li B, et al. Molecular and serological investigation of
- 13 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes. Emerg
- 14 Microbes Infect **2020**; 9:386-9.
- 15 6. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019
- 16 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet **2020**; 395:497-506.
- 17 7. Seo SH, Wang L, Smith R, Collisson EW. The carboxyl-terminal 120-residue
- 18 polypeptide of infectious bronchitis virus nucleocapsid induces cytotoxic T
- 19 lymphocytes and protects chickens from acute infection. J Virol **1997**;
- 20 71:7889-94.

1	8. Chen Z, Pei D, Jiang L, et al. Antigenicity analysis of different regions of the
2	severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. Clin Chem
3	<b>2004</b> ; 50:988-95.
4	9. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new
5	coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020.
6	10. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019
7	novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet
8	<b>2020</b> ; 395:565-74.
9	11. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory
10	disease in China. Nature <b>2020</b> .
11	12. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of
12	99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive
13	study. Lancet <b>2020</b> ; 395:507-13.
14	13. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients
15	With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA
16	2020.
17	14. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of
18	COVID-19. JAMA <b>2020</b> .
19	15. Wang N, Li SY, Yang XL, et al. Serological Evidence of Bat SARS-Related
20	Coronavirus Infection in Humans, China. Virol Sin 2018; 33:104-7.
21	16. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients
22	of novel coronavirus disease 2019. medRxiv 2020:2020.03.02.20030189.
23	

## 1 Figure Captions

2	Figure 1. Gene fragments and purified recombinant HCoV-19 proteins analyzed by
3	agarose electrophoresis and SDS-PAGE. (A) PCR identification of S1 and
4	S-RBD-mFc gene fragments. (B) N gene fragment. (C) N2 gene fragment. (D)
5	SDS-PAGE for purified rS1 and rS-RBD-mFc. (E) SDS-PAGE for rN. Lanes 1 and 2
6	are the precipitatant and supernatant, respectively, from crude E. coli extracts
7	harboring the recombinant plasmid. Lanes 3-8: Ni Sepharose affinity column
8	purification. Lane 3 shows unbound proteins. Lanes 4-7: proteins washed from the
9	column using 10, 20, 60 and 80 mM imidazole. Lane 8 is rN eluted with 250 mM
10	imidazole. (F) SDS-PAGE for rN2. Lanes 1 and 2 are the supernatant and
11	precipitatant, respectively, from crude E. coli extracts harboring the recombinant
12	plasmid. Lanes 3–9: Ni Sepharose affinity column purification. Lane 3 shows the
13	unpurified supernatant proteins. Lanes 4-7: Proteins washed from the column using
14	10, 20, 20 and 50 mM imidazole. Lanes 8–9: rN eluted in 250 mM imidazole.
15	
16	Figure 2. Evaluation of recombinant HCOV-19 proteins by indirect ELISA on serum
17	samples. Serum samples from seven healthty people and two COVID-19 patients (P1

and P2) were used as negative controls and positive samples, respectively. (A) IgM
detection in serum samples by the recombinant S1 domain protein fragment from
the HCoV-19 spike protein (rS1), the receptor binding domain of the S protein
(rS-RBD), and S-RBD ligated to the Fc fragment from mouse (rS-RBD-mFc)

1	following their expression in a eukaryotic system. (B) IgG detection in serum samples
2	using rS1, rS-RBD and rS-RBD-mFc proteins. (C) IgM detection in serum samples
3	using full-length recombinant nucleocapsid protein (rN), an NH2 recombinant
4	fragment from the N (rN1) or COOH (rN2) termini following their expression in a
5	prokaryotic system. (D) IgG detection in serum samples using rN, rN1 and rN2
6	proteins. Dashed lines, cutoff values.
7	
8	Figure 3. (A) Schematic illustration of the sandwich-format GICA strip for IgM and
9	IgG antibody detection against HCoV-19. (B) Lower detection limit of the GICA strip,
10	as determined with serial dilutions of the positive serum. (C) Cross-reaction testing of

11 the GICA strip with other respiratory diseases.









# 1 Tables

Primer	Sequence				
S1-F	GC <u>GAATTC</u> GCCGCCACCATGTTCGTGTTTCTGGTGCTGC				
S1-R	GG <u>GGATCC</u> CTAGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGGTAGGAGGCAC				
	AGATGCCGG				
S-RBD-mFc-F	TC <u>CTTAAG</u> GGCGTGCAGTGCATGTTCTTGTTAACAACTAA				
	ACGAACAATGTTTG				
S-RBD-mFc-R	TC <u>GCTAGC</u> ACGTGCCCGCCGAGGAGAATTAG				
S-RBD-F	TC <u>CTTAAG</u> GGCGTGCAGTGCAATATTACAAACTTGTGCCC				
	TTTTGG				
S-RBD-R	CT <u>GGATCC</u> CTAGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGCTCAAGTGTCT				
	GTGGATCACGG				
N-F	GAAGGAGATATACCATGGGCATGTCTGATAATGGACCCCA				
N-R	TGGTGGTGGTGGTG <u>CTCGAG</u> GGCCTGAGTTGAGTCAGCA				
N1-F	CG <u>GGATCC</u> CGAATGTCTGATAATGGACC				
N1-R	CCC <u>AAGCTT</u> TCTAGCAGGAGAAGTTCCCCTAC				
N2-F	GAAGGAGATATACCATGGGCATGGCTGGCAATGGCGGTGA				
	TGCTGCTCTT				
N2-R	CCG <u>CTCGAG</u> GGCCTGAGTTGAGTCAGCACTGCTC				

# 2 Table 1. Subcloning primers for preparation of the recombinant proteins

3 Underlined letters: restriction enzyme recognition sites.

Recombinant	Tag <sup>a</sup>	Amino	$\mathbf{MW}^{\mathbf{b}}$	Expression	<b>Strain</b> <sup>c</sup>	Restriction
protein		acid	(kDa)			site <sup>d</sup>
rS1-His	His	667	76.5	Eukaryotic	HEK 293	Afl II/Nhe I
rS-RBD-mFc	His	501	63	Eukaryotic	HEK 293	Afl II/Nhe I
rS-RBD	His	259	30	Eukaryotic	HEK 293	Afl II/Nhe I
rN	His	428	45	Prokaryotic	E.coli	NcoI/XhoI
				(pET28a)	Rosetta	
rN1	Sumo,	273	47	Prokaryotic	E.coli	HindIII/
	His			(pET28a)	BL21	BamHI
rN2	TrxA,	210	43	Prokaryotic	E.coli	NcoI/XhoI
	His			(pET32a)	BL21	

## **1** Table 2. Information of the prepared recombinant proteins

<sup>a</sup> Protein tags. Sumo and TrxA tags are 18 and 20 kDa, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> Molecular weight of the recombinant protein including the tags.

4 <sup>c</sup> Strains used for engineering and protein expression

<sup>d</sup> Restriction enzyme recognition sites

Group	Total	GICA detection results (%)			
		Positive	Negative		
<b>RT-PCR</b> Positive	122	106 (86.89%)	16 (13.11%)		
<b>RT-PCR</b> Negative	660	4 (0.61%)	656 (99.39%)		
Clinically confirmed	32	21 (65.63%)	11 (35.37%)		

## 1 Table 3. GICA strip results of the serum samples

2