



COVID-19, zoonoses, and physical geography

Progress in Physical Geography
2020, Vol. 44(2) 149–150
© The Author(s) 2020
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/0309133320918386
journals.sagepub.com/home/ppg



George P Malanson

University of Iowa, USA

COVID-19 is enmeshed in human and environmental geography. The multiscale nature of the phenomenon is geographic. From its potential entry into the human population through interaction with wild animals to its diffusion across the planet, geographic patterns and processes have been engaged. The potential for transmission of disease from animals to humans is multifaceted, including both animals as obligate vectors and as reservoirs from which diseases can jump to independence in humans. These facets are part of human-environment interaction ranging from daily life to globalized drivers of land use change. Geographers have advanced our knowledge (perhaps too little – time will tell) of the dynamics of zoonoses. Physical geography can also contribute to understanding and addressing these pathogens.

Covid-19 and other viruses are biota with their own geographies, and biogeographic theories and methods are relevant to understanding them (Reperant 2010; Escobar and Craft 2016; Jean et al. 2016; Dallas et al. 2019). These concepts and methods are already prevalent in academic health geography. The ecological niche concept has been used to identify the geographies of vectors and reservoirs of human viral pathogens. Examples include Pigott et al. (2004) for Ebola and Messina et al. (2016) for Zika. Niche modeling, a widely used methodology in biogeography, has been applied in several cases (e.g., Peterson et al. 2006, for

Marburg hemorrhagic fever; Young et al. 2017, for avian influenza).

Research has been focused on the macroorganisms that serve as reservoirs and vectors for the pathogens. These are often investigated in relation to environmental geography such as land use change (e.g., Goodin et al. 2006 for Hantavirus). More organismic population ecology is also relevant (Alexander et al. 2012, for Ebola, *inter alia*). The geography of these macroorganisms is also affected by climate change, and these populations will potentially shift or expand their ranges and increase or at least change the human populations with which they are in contact (e.g., Morin et al. 2013, for dengue).

The biogeography of viruses per se will be an emerging field. Patterns of existing genetic diversity and development have been examined within reservoirs (e.g., Carrel et al. 2010, for avian influenza). Even in predominantly human settings (*i.e.*, with domestic animals) and in human hosts and vectors, viruses have biogeographies. For example, Young et al. (2016) showed patterns of diffusion for genetic variants of avian influenza. Virus diversity and evolution can reveal processes relevant to potential

Corresponding author:

George P Malanson, University of Iowa, 316 JH Iowa City,

Iowa 52242, USA.

Email: george-malanson@uiowa.edu

control, and the fundamentals of phylogeography (cf. Gaunt et al. 2001) will increasingly be part of the toolkit for health geographers (e.g., Young et al. 2018).

Understanding the geography of zoonoses will be important in addressing their impacts on society. While biogeography can contribute (e.g., Murray et al. 2018), climatology and hydrology are also developing zoonoses-oriented research programs. To be effective for promoting human health, further integration with the work of human geographers with similar interests will be sensible. Talk to your colleagues – from a safe distance.

ORCID iD

George P Malanson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9527-0086>

References

- Alexander KA, Lewis BL, Marathe M, Eubank S and Blackburn JK (2012) Modeling of wildlife-associated zoonoses: applications and caveats. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 12: 1005–1018.
- Carrel MA, Emch M, Jobe RT, Moody A and Wan XF (2010) Spatiotemporal structure of molecular evolution of H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses in Vietnam. *PloS One* 5(1): #e8631.
- Dallas TA, Carlson CJ and Poisot T (2019) Testing predictability of disease outbreaks with a simple model of pathogen biogeography. *Royal Society Open Science* 6(11): #190883.
- Escobar LE and Craft ME (2016) Advances and limitations of disease biogeography using ecological niche modeling. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7: #1174.
- Gaunt MW, Sall AA, de Lamballerie X, Falconar AK, Dzhivanian TI and Gould EA (2001) Phylogenetic relationships of flaviviruses correlate with their epidemiology, disease association and biogeography. *Journal of General Virology* 82: 1867–1876.
- Goodin DG, Koch DE, Owen RD, Chu YK, Hutchinson JS and Jonsson CB (2006) Land cover associated with hantavirus presence in Paraguay. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 15: 519–527.
- Jean K, Burnside WR, Carlson L, Smith K and Guégan JF (2016) An equilibrium theory signature in the island biogeography of human parasites and pathogens. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 25: 107–116
- Messina JP, Kraemer MU, Brady OJ, Pigott DM, Shearer FM, Weiss DJ, Golding N, Ruktanonchai CW, Gething PW, Cohn E and Brownstein JS (2016) Mapping global environmental suitability for Zika virus. *eLife* 5: #e15272.
- Morin CW and Comrie AC (2013) Regional and seasonal response of a West Nile virus vector to climate change. *Proceedings National Academy of Sciences USA* 110: 15620–15625.
- Murray KA, Olivero J, Roche B, Tiedt S and Guégan JF (2018) Pathogeography: leveraging the biogeography of human infectious diseases for global health management. *Ecography* 41: 1411–1427.
- Peterson AT, Lash RR, Carroll DS and Johnson KM (2006) Geographic potential for outbreaks of Marburg hemorrhagic fever. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 75: 9–15.
- Pigott DM, Millear AI, Earl L, Morozoff C, Han BA, Shearer FM, Weiss DJ, Brady OJ, Kraemer MU, Moyes CL and Bhatt S (2016) Updates to the zoonotic niche map of Ebola virus disease in Africa. *eLife* 5: #e16412.
- Young SG, Carrel M, Kitchen A, Malanson GP, Tamerius J, Ali M and Kayali G (2017) How's the Flu Getting Through? Landscape genetics suggests both humans and birds spread H5N1 in Egypt. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* 49: 293–299
- Young SG, Carrel M, Malanson GP, Ali MA and Kayali G (2016) Predicting avian influenza co-infection with H5N1 and H9N2 in northern Egypt. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 13(9): #886
- Young SG, Kitchen A, Kayali G and Carrel M (2018) Unlocking pandemic potential: prevalence and spatial patterns of key substitutions in avian influenza H5N1 in Egyptian isolates. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 18(1): #314.